

RE: Proposed partial road closure of ROW54 a designated road

-----Original Message-----

From:

Sent: Friday, 30 July 2021 7:43 AM

To: Anthony Vuleta

Subject: Proposed partial road closure of ROW54 a designated road

Dear Anthony,

My large extended family and commercial tenants in particular know that this proposed closure will create road safety issues on Oats St, near the intersection of Shepperton and Oats Sts, which is made dangerous by its proximity to the Officeworks' carpark entry/exit and Hubert St intersections. I know friends who have been involved in traffic accidents in the short space on Oats St, between Officeworks and Shepperton Rd; and I personally witnessed an accident, where a car coming along Oats St, through the intersection, then sideswiped a vehicle that was moving out of the Officework carpark.

My commercial tenants and their employees, as well as many other commercial users of industrial areas in EVP, Welshpool, Carlisle, Kewdale, Belmont use Oats St as a thoroughfare to their business, as well as to and fro from their place of business and the people they do business with and for.

As a hardware, Bunnings complements their businesses and is therefore an integral part of these industrial users doing their daily business. Getting in and out of Bunnings safely is paramount.

Commercial Land Users pay more rates than residential users. This road is a major thoroughfare for them. It needs to be safe for them to do their daily business.

On behalf of all the many affected commercial/industrial members of the community affected, I would like to advocate that this closure not occur, until there is an alternative in place.

If the closure goes ahead, it will make that little area of Oats St, very dangerous for all the users, even the "mum and dad" renovators and oldies who frequent Bunnings.

It is very short sighted by the relevant authorities who proposed closing this ROW before the alternative is in place. Their proposed idea makes the road unsafe for all.

I implore you to please leave the ROW as is, for road safety reasons. When an alternate safe exit is in place, then it can be closed. Not before.

Thank you for considering my thoughts, based on greater community road safety. As opposed to the unsafe proposal of ROW54 closure.

Kindest regards