



## Metro Inner-South Development Assessment Panel Minutes

**Meeting Date and Time:** 5 May 2020; 9.30am  
**Meeting Number:** MISJDAP/1  
**Meeting Venue:** via electronic means

*This DAP meeting was conducted by electronic means open to the public rather than requiring attendance in person*

### 1 Table of Contents

1.	Opening of Meeting, Welcome and Acknowledgement.....	3
2.	Apologies.....	3
3.	Members on Leave of Absence.....	3
4.	Noting of Minutes.....	3
5.	Declaration of Due Consideration.....	3
6.	Disclosure of Interests.....	3
7.	Deputations and Presentations.....	4
8.	Form 1 – Responsible Authority Reports – DAP Applications.....	5
	8.1 No.23 (Lot 9103) Seabiscuit Drive, Burswood.....	5
	8.2 No.51 (Lot 9104) Seabiscuit Drive, Burswood.....	11
9.	Form 2 – Responsible Authority Reports – DAP Amendment or Cancellation of Approval .....	13
	Nil.....	13
10.	State Administrative Tribunal Applications and Supreme Court Appeals ...	14
11.	General Business.....	14
12.	Meeting Closure .....	14



## **Attendance**

### **DAP Members**

Mr Clayton Higham (Presiding Member)  
Ms Rachel Chapman (Deputy Presiding Member)  
Mr John Taylor (A/Specialist Member)  
Cr Ronnhda Potter (Local Government Member, Town of Victoria Park)  
Cr Vicki Potter (Local Government Member, Town of Victoria Park)

### **Officers in attendance**

#### *Item 8.1*

Mr Josh Arnott (Town of Victoria Park)  
Mr Robert Cruikshank (Town of Victoria Park)

#### *Item 8.2*

Ms Eleanor Richards (Western Australian Planning Commission)  
Ms Delia Neglie (Western Australia Planning Commission)

### **Minute Secretary**

Ms Ashlee Kelly (DAP Secretariat)

### **Applicants and Submitters**

#### *Item 8.1*

Mr Lewis Shugar (Element)  
Mr Sam Laybutt (Cardno)  
Mr David Osborne

#### *Item 8.2*

Mr Greg Comiskey (DBCA)

### **Members of the Public / Media**

Nil



## 1. Opening of Meeting, Welcome and Acknowledgement

The Presiding Member declared the meeting open at 9.30am on 5 May 2020 and acknowledged the traditional owners and pay respect to Elders past and present of the land on which the meeting was being held.

The Presiding Member announced the meeting would be run in accordance with the DAP Standing Orders 2017 under the *Planning and Development (Development Assessment Panels) Regulations 2011*.

### 1.1 Announcements by Presiding Member

The Presiding Member advised that in accordance with Section 5.16 of the DAP Standing Orders 2017 which states '*A person must not use any electronic, visual or audio recording device or instrument to record the proceedings of the DAP meeting unless the Presiding Member has given permission to do so.*', the meeting would not be recorded.

In response to the COVID-19 situation, this meeting was convened via electronic means. Members were reminded to announce their name and title prior to speaking.

## 2. Apologies

Mr Peter Lee (Third Specialist Member)

## 3. Members on Leave of Absence

Nil

## 4. Noting of Minutes

DAP members noted that signed minutes of previous meetings are available on the [DAP website](#).

## 5. Declaration of Due Consideration

The Presiding Member noted that an addendum to the agenda was published to include details of a DAP direction for further information and responsible authority response in relation to Item 8.2, received on 4 May 2020.

All members declared that they had duly considered the documents.

## 6. Disclosure of Interests

DAP Member, Mr Peter Lee, declared a Pecuniary Interest in item 8.2. The application is a Hassell project, in which Mr Peter Lee is an employee of. Golden River is a current client of Hassell.

In accordance with section 6.2 and 6.3 of the DAP Standing Orders 2017, the Presiding Member determined that the member listed above, who had disclosed a Pecuniary Interest interest, was not permitted to participate in the discussion and voting on the item.



## 7. Deputations and Presentations

- 7.1 Mr Lewis Shugar (element) addressed the DAP in support of the recommendation for the application at Item 8.1 and responded to questions from the panel.
- 7.2 Mr Sam Laybutt (Cardno) addressed the DAP in support of the recommendation for the application at Item 8.1 and responded to questions from the panel.
- 7.3 Town of Victoria Park Officers addressed the DAP in relation to the application at Item 8.1 and responded to questions from the panel.

***The presentation at Item 7.1 – 7.3 was heard prior to the application at Item 8.1.***

- 7.4 Mr Greg Comiskey (DBCA) addressed the DAP in relation to the application at Item 8.2 and responded to questions from the panel.
- 7.3 The WAPC Officers addressed the DAP in relation to the application at Item 8.2 and responded to questions from the panel.

***The presentations at Items 7.4 - 7.3 were heard prior to the application at Item 8.2.***

### PROCEDURAL MOTION

**Moved by:** Ms Rachel Chapman

**Seconded by:** Mr Clayton Higham

That the Metro Inner-South received legal advice from representatives of the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and that such advice was discussed behind closed doors, in accordance with section 5.10.2g of the DAP Standing Orders 2017.

**The Procedural Motion was put and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**REASON:** to discuss legal advice received by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage in relation to Item 8.2.

*The meeting was closed to the public at 10.15am.  
The meeting was reopened to the public at 10.33am.*



## 8. Form 1 – Responsible Authority Reports – DAP Applications

### 8.1 No.23 (Lot 9103) Seabiscuit Drive, Burswood

Development Description: Large Format Digital Signage  
Applicant: Element  
Owner: The Chair of the Board of the Western Australian Turf Club  
Responsible Authority: Town of Victoria Park  
DAP File No: DAP/20/01743

### REPORT RECOMMENDATION

**Moved by:** Cr Vicki Potter

**Seconded by:** Cr Ronhhda Potter

That the Metro Inner-South Joint Development Assessment Panel resolves to:

1. **Accept** that the DAP Application reference DAP/20/01743 is appropriate for consideration as a “Unlisted Use” land use and compatible with the objectives of the zoning table in accordance with Town Planning Scheme No. 1 of the Town of Victoria Park;
2. **Approve** DAP Application reference DAP/20/01743 and accompanying plans at Attachment 2 in accordance with Clause 68 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, the Town of Victoria Park Town Planning Scheme No. 1 and clauses 24 and 26 of the Metropolitan Region Scheme, subject to the following conditions as follows:

### Conditions of Approval

1. This decision constitutes planning approval only and is valid for a period of two (2) years from the date of approval. If the subject development is not substantially commenced within the two (2) year period, the approval shall lapse and be of no further effect.
2. The Large Format Digital Sign is approved until the earlier of:
  - (a) a period of ten (10) years from the date of this approval, or such longer period approved by the Town of Victoria Park/Metro Inner-South Joint Development Assessment Panel; or
  - (b) the issuing of a building permit by the Town for a new building on the site immediately adjacent to the approved sign.

The sign is to be removed within 60 days of the expiry of the approval to the satisfaction of the Town.

3. The development, once commenced, is to be carried out in accordance with the approved plans at all times, unless otherwise authorised by the Town.



4. Complete details of the proposed external colours, finishes and materials to be used in the construction of the structure are to be provided to the satisfaction of the Town prior to submission of an application for a building permit. The development shall be constructed in accordance with the approved details and shall be thereafter maintained.
5. The location and details of the sign(s), and any supporting structure, as shown on the approved plans, must not be altered without the written consent of the Town.
6. The advertising content displayed on the sign is to primarily relate to activities and events at Belmont Park Racecourse or in the Burswood Peninsula Precinct. Prior to operation of the sign, a Memorandum of Understanding is to be entered into between the landowner and the Town, to the Town's satisfaction, which specifies a minimum proportion of time for which the sign is to display content relating to activities and events, and the landowner's commitment to this.
7. The sign(s) must be constructed and maintained to the satisfaction of the Town.
8. All building works to be carried out under this development approval are required to be contained within the boundaries of the subject lot.
9. The installation and ongoing operation of the large format digital sign shall comply with Main Roads Western Australia's Policy and Application Guidelines for Advertising Within and Beyond State Road Reserves.
10. The advertisements and images displayed on the large format digital sign being restricted to static displays that contain only single 'self-contained' messages, where the images displayed, and the duration and transition of adverts shall be in accordance with the *Main Roads (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1996* and the Main Roads WA 'Policy and Application Guidelines for Advertising Signs', (as amended from time to time).
11. Prior to commencement of the development, a Sign Management Strategy which outlines an appropriate complaints and compliance procedure is to be prepared to the satisfaction of the Town in consultation with Main Roads WA.
12. The applicant shall be required to demonstrate that this Large Format Digital Sign (LFDS) and the approved LFDS to be located on the Victoria Park Drive bridge are not visible at the same time and if so, is required to implement treatments to address this issue at the full cost to the applicant.
13. Any proposed illumination of the sign must not exceed 300cd.m<sup>2</sup> (candela per square metre) between sunset and sunrise.
14. The sign shall not flash, pulsate or chase.
15. Vegetation within the state road reserve shall not be removed or trimmed to improve the visibility of the proposed advertising signs.
16. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs involved in the relocation of any Main Roads signs or any other infrastructure as required.



17. Prior to any physical work occurring the applicant shall seek approval from Main Roads to undertake works within the Metropolitan Region Scheme road reserve.

### **Town of Victoria Park Advice Notes**

- AN1. In relation to condition 1 a further two years is added to the date by which the development shall be substantially commenced, pursuant to Schedule 4, Clause 4.2 of the Clause 78H 'Notice of Exemption from Planning Requirements During State of Emergency' signed by the Minister for Planning on 8 April 2020. For further information regarding the Ministerial direction, please contact the Town.
- AN2. Any amendments or modifications to the approved drawings forming part of this development approval may require the submission of an application for amendment to development approval and reassessment of the proposal.
- AN2. A building permit is required to be obtained from the Town prior to commencement of any work in relation to this development approval.
- AN3. Should the applicant be aggrieved by this decision a right of appeal may exist under the provisions of the Town Planning Scheme or the Metropolitan Region Scheme and the applicant may apply for a review of the determination of Council by the State Administrative Tribunal within 28 days of the date of this decision.

### **Main Roads WA Advice Notes**

- AN4. The applicant must obtain approval from Main Roads before any works are undertaken within the Graham Farmer Freeway road reserve. The applicant seeking access to the Main Roads network will be required to submit an *Application form to undertake works within the road reserve*.

Application forms and supporting information about the procedure can be found on the Main Roads website >"Our Roads">"Conducting Works on Roads">".

### **AMENDING MOTION 1**

**Moved by:** Ms Rachel Chapman

**Seconded by:** Cr Vicki Potter

That condition no. 2 (b) be deleted and condition 2 be reworded to remove the words 'until the earlier of: (a)' and replace with 'for'.

**The Amending Motion was put and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

**REASON:** A possible future building nearby is speculative, however, a 10 year time limitation for the approval was supported in the interest of orderly and proper planning to ensure the sign is removed prior to any significant development taking place in Precinct 2 of the Structure Plan area, noting the sign may not provide a suitable interface to Precinct 2 when developed in accordance with the Belmont Park Redevelopment Structure Plan and/or Local Development Plan.



## AMENDING MOTION 2

**Moved by:** Ms Rachel Chapman

**Seconded by:** Cr Vicki Potter

That condition no. 6 be amended to read as follows:

**A minimum of 50% of the advertising content displayed on the sign is to primarily relate to businesses, activities and events at Belmont Park Racecourse or in the Burswood Peninsula Precinct, Prior to operation of the sign, a Memorandum of Understanding is to be entered into between the landowner and the Town, to the Town's satisfaction, which specifies a minimum proportion of time for which the sign is to display content relating to activities and events, and the landowner's commitment to this. with third party commercial advertising not relating to businesses, activities and events at Belmont Park or within the Burswood Peninsula Precinct to be restricted to a maximum of 50% of the advertising content displayed.**

**The Amending Motion was put and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

**REASON:** Noting the statements by the applicant that ultimately advertising will be predominately local content, but initially this may not be achieved, a requirement for a minimum of 50% of the adverts (ie 1 in 2 adverts) to relate to local business, activities and events would provide an opportunity for this to be increased over time and also mitigate against the proliferation of third party signage in the locality taking into consideration this sign and the recently approved Victoria Ave Bridge sign in proximity..

## AMENDING MOTION 3

**Moved by:** Ms Rachel Chapman

**Seconded by:** Cr Vicki Potter

That condition no. 12 be amended to read as follows:

The applicant shall be required to demonstrate that this Large Format Digital Sign (LFDS) and the approved LFDS to be located on the Victoria Park Drive bridge are not visible at the same time and if so, is required to implement treatments to address this issue at the full cost to the applicant **to the satisfaction of the Town of Victoria Park on advice of MRWA.**

**The Amending Motion was put and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.**

**REASON:** The condition is consistent with the intent of MRWA policy to mitigate against driver distraction and would enable the Town to require median or verge planting or other screening, if required and on advice from MRWA..

## REPORT RECOMMENDATION (AS AMENDED)

That the Metro Inner-South Joint Development Assessment Panel resolves to:

1. **Accept** that the DAP Application reference DAP/20/01743 is appropriate for consideration as a "Unlisted Use" land use and compatible with the objectives of the zoning table in accordance with Town Planning Scheme No. 1 of the Town of Victoria Park;





2. **Approve** DAP Application reference DAP/20/01743 and accompanying plans at Attachment 2 in accordance with Clause 68 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, the Town of Victoria Park Town Planning Scheme No. 1 and clauses 24 and 26 of the Metropolitan Region Scheme, subject to the following conditions as follows:

### **Conditions of Approval**

1. This decision constitutes planning approval only and is valid for a period of two (2) years from the date of approval. If the subject development is not substantially commenced within the two (2) year period, the approval shall lapse and be of no further effect.
2. The Large Format Digital Sign is approved for a period of ten (10) years from the date of this approval, or such longer period approved by the Town of Victoria Park/Metro Inner-South Joint Development Assessment Panel  
  
The sign is to be removed within 60 days of the expiry of the approval to the satisfaction of the Town.
3. The development, once commenced, is to be carried out in accordance with the approved plans at all times, unless otherwise authorised by the Town.
4. Complete details of the proposed external colours, finishes and materials to be used in the construction of the structure are to be provided to the satisfaction of the Town prior to submission of an application for a building permit. The development shall be constructed in accordance with the approved details and shall be thereafter maintained.
5. The location and details of the sign(s), and any supporting structure, as shown on the approved plans, must not be altered without the written consent of the Town.
6. A minimum of 50% of the advertising content displayed on the sign is to relate to businesses, activities and events at Belmont Park Racecourse or in the Burswood Peninsula Precinct, with third party commercial advertising not relating to businesses, activities and events at Belmont Park or within the Burswood Peninsula Precinct to be restricted to a maximum of 50% of the advertising content displayed.
7. The sign(s) must be constructed and maintained to the satisfaction of the Town.
8. All building works to be carried out under this development approval are required to be contained within the boundaries of the subject lot.
9. The installation and ongoing operation of the large format digital sign shall comply with Main Roads Western Australia's Policy and Application Guidelines for Advertising Within and Beyond State Road Reserves.



10. The advertisements and images displayed on the large format digital sign being restricted to static displays that contain only single 'self-contained' messages, where the images displayed, and the duration and transition of adverts shall be in accordance with the *Main Roads (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1996* and the Main Roads WA 'Policy and Application Guidelines for Advertising Signs', (as amended from time to time).
11. Prior to commencement of the development, a Sign Management Strategy which outlines an appropriate complaints and compliance procedure is to be prepared to the satisfaction of the Town in consultation with Main Roads WA.
12. The applicant shall be required to demonstrate that this Large Format Digital Sign (LFDS) and the approved LFDS to be located on the Victoria Park Drive bridge are not visible at the same time and if so, is required to implement treatments to address this issue at the full cost to the applicant to the satisfaction of Town of Victoria Park on advice of MRWA.
13. Any proposed illumination of the sign must not exceed 300cd.m<sup>2</sup> (candela per square metre) between sunset and sunrise.
14. The sign shall not flash, pulsate or chase.
15. Vegetation within the state road reserve shall not be removed or trimmed to improve the visibility of the proposed advertising signs.
16. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs involved in the relocation of any Main Roads signs or any other infrastructure as required.
17. Prior to any physical work occurring the applicant shall seek approval from Main Roads to undertake works within the Metropolitan Region Scheme road reserve.

#### **Town of Victoria Park Advice Notes**

- AN1. In relation to condition 1 a further two years is added to the date by which the development shall be substantially commenced, pursuant to Schedule 4, Clause 4.2 of the Clause 78H 'Notice of Exemption from Planning Requirements During State of Emergency' signed by the Minister for Planning on 8 April 2020. For further information regarding the Ministerial direction, please contact the Town.
- AN2. Any amendments or modifications to the approved drawings forming part of this development approval may require the submission of an application for amendment to development approval and reassessment of the proposal.
- AN2. A building permit is required to be obtained from the Town prior to commencement of any work in relation to this development approval.
- AN3. Should the applicant be aggrieved by this decision a right of appeal may exist under the provisions of the Town Planning Scheme or the Metropolitan Region Scheme and the applicant may apply for a review of the determination of Council by the State Administrative Tribunal within 28 days of the date of this decision.



## Main Roads WA Advice Notes

AN4. The applicant must obtain approval from Main Roads before any works are undertaken within the Graham Farmer Freeway road reserve. The applicant seeking access to the Main Roads network will be required to submit an *Application form to undertake works within the road reserve*.

Application forms and supporting information about the procedure can be found on the Main Roads website >"Our Roads">"Conducting Works on Roads">".

## The Report Recommendation (as amended) was put and **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**REASON:** The panel considered the proposal to be an appropriate use and design for this location, however, a 10 year time limitation for the approval was supported in the interest of orderly and proper planning to ensure the sign is removed prior to any significant development taking place in Precinct 2 of the Structure Plan area, noting the sign may not provide a suitable interface to Precinct 2 when developed in accordance with the Belmont Park Redevelopment Structure Plan and/or Local Development Plan.

### 8.2 No.51 (Lot 9104) Seabiscuit Drive, Burswood

Development Description:	Community Facility and Temporary Sales Office
Applicant:	Hassell
Owner:	Golden River Development (Australia) PTY LTD
Responsible Authority:	Western Australian Planning Commission
DAP File No:	DAP/19/01634

## REPORT RECOMMENDATION

**Moved by:** Cr Vicki Potter

**Seconded by:** Ms Rachel Chapman

That the Metro Central JDAP resolves to:

1. **Refuse** DAP Application reference DAP/19/01634 and accompanying plans date stamped 24 July 2019 by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and attached (pages 1 to 10 in Attachment 1) pursuant to clause 30(1) of the Metropolitan Region Scheme for the following reasons:

### Reasons

1. The proposed development conflicts with *State Planning Policy 2.10 - Swan-Canning River System, Development Control Policy 5.3 - Use of Land Reserved for Parks and Recreation and Regional Open Space and Development Control Policy 1.2 - Development Control - General Principles*, as it is not appropriate for its location and setting and will have an adverse impact on the amenity of the Parks and Recreation reserve and the Swan River, by reason that:
  - a. The design and built form of the development, in particular the building height, bulk, scale, form, orientation and selection and use of external finishes and materials is not appropriate and does not complement its setting;
  - b. It results in the removal of significant areas of fringe and riparian vegetation and introduces substantial lengths of revetment which will have detrimental impact on the Swan River; and



- c. Insufficient setbacks are provided to the waterway.
2. The proposed use of the site for a rowing facility conflicts with an adjacent water ski area and may prejudice the operation of that area and/or result in safety issues.
3. It has not been demonstrated how proposed development would be appropriately accessed and serviced, including:
  - a. How Restricted Access Vehicles (RAVs) would access the site given the type of RAV's proposed are not permitted on the surrounding road network;
  - b. Insufficient parking for cars, waste service vehicles and vehicles towing trailers is provided; and
  - c. The proposed reliance on public car bays as turnaround areas provides insufficient manoeuvrability.
4. The proposed use of the site for a temporary sales office conflicts with *Development Control Policy 5.3 - Use of Land Reserved for Parks and Recreation and Regional Open Space* and *Development Control Policy 1.2 - Development Control - General Principles*, as it is inconsistent with the intent of the Parks and Recreation reserve under the MRS, which is to set aside land of regional significance for ecological, recreation and/or landscape purposes.

#### **AMENDING MOTION 1**

**Moved by:** Ms Rachel Chapman

**Seconded by:** Cr Vicki Potter

That a new reason no. 1 (d) be added to read as follows:

*The proposal represents adhoc development which is inconsistent with the orderly and proper planning for the use and development of this part of the Swan River foreshore for public use and enjoyment, and should be undertaken in accordance with a comprehensive plan for this area which takes into consideration suitable locations for significant development, areas for conservation and other uses.*

#### **The Amending Motion was put and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**REASON:** Such a significant development and use on land adjacent to the Swan River and identified for foreshore purposes needs to be considered in the context of the future use and development of the wider foreshore area, with consideration to be given to the most suitable areas for significant development like that which is proposed, having regard to clearing of vegetation that would be required, vehicle access and parking. Furthermore, a comprehensive plan would also take into consideration future users, tenants and management of the development and their responsibilities.

#### **REPORT RECOMMENDATION (AS AMENDED)**

That the Metro Central JDAP resolves to:

1. **Refuse** DAP Application reference DAP/19/01634 and accompanying plans date stamped 24 July 2019 by the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage and attached (pages 1 to 10 in Attachment 1) pursuant to clause 30(1) of the Metropolitan Region Scheme for the following reasons:



## Reasons

1. The proposed development conflicts with *State Planning Policy 2.10 - Swan-Canning River System, Development Control Policy 5.3 - Use of Land Reserved for Parks and Recreation and Regional Open Space and Development Control Policy 1.2 - Development Control - General Principles*, as it is not appropriate for its location and setting and will have an adverse impact on the amenity of the Parks and Recreation reserve and the Swan River, by reason that:
  - a. The design and built form of the development, in particular the building height, bulk, scale, form, orientation and selection and use of external finishes and materials is not appropriate and does not complement its setting;
  - b. It results in the removal of significant areas of fringe and riparian vegetation and introduces substantial lengths of revetment which will have detrimental impact on the Swan River; and
  - c. Insufficient setbacks are provided to the waterway.
  - d. The proposal represents adhoc development which is inconsistent with the orderly and proper planning for the use and development of this part of the Swan River foreshore for public use and enjoyment, and should be undertaken in accordance with a comprehensive plan for this area which takes into consideration suitable locations for significant development, areas for conservation and other uses.
2. The proposed use of the site for a rowing facility conflicts with an adjacent water ski area and may prejudice the operation of that area and/or result in safety issues.
3. It has not been demonstrated how proposed development would be appropriately accessed and serviced, including:
  - a. How Restricted Access Vehicles (RAVs) would access the site given the type of RAV's proposed are not permitted on the surrounding road network;
  - b. Insufficient parking for cars, waste service vehicles and vehicles towing trailers is provided; and
  - c. The proposed reliance on public car bays as turnaround areas provides insufficient manoeuvrability.
4. The proposed use of the site for a temporary sales office conflicts with *Development Control Policy 5.3 - Use of Land Reserved for Parks and Recreation and Regional Open Space and Development Control Policy 1.2 - Development Control - General Principles*, as it is inconsistent with the intent of the Parks and Recreation reserve under the MRS, which is to set aside land of regional significance for ecological, recreation and/or landscape purposes.

### **The Report Recommendation (as amended) was put and CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**

**REASON:** The panel considered that the proposal represented a significant development on the Swan River foreshore without regard to the impact that such a use and development would have on the amenity of the area and the use and enjoyment of the foreshore by the public at large. Accordingly, the development was not able to be supported for the reasons outlined above.

### **9. Form 2 – Responsible Authority Reports – DAP Amendment or Cancellation of Approval**

Nil



## 10. State Administrative Tribunal Applications and Supreme Court Appeals

The Presiding Member noted the following Supreme Court Appeals -

Current SAT Applications				
File No. & SAT DR No.	LG Name	Property Location	Application Description	Date Lodged
DAP/18/01534 DR 59/2019	City of Melville	Nos. 10, 12 & 14 (Lots 311, 800 & 801) Forbes Road and Nos. 40A, 40B & 40C (Lots 802, 803 & 804) Kishorn Road, Applecross	20 Storey Mixed-Use Development comprising 97 Multiple Dwellings, 15 Short Stay Accommodation Units and 5 Non-Residential tenancies (Office, Restaurant, Shop and 2 Co-Working Spaces)	20/3/2019
DAP/16/00974 DR 237/2017	City of South Perth	Lots 2-20 (72-74) Mill Point Road, South Perth	36 Level (118.2m) Mixed Use Development	27/7/2017
DAP/19/01662 DR 022/2020	City of Belmont	Lot 20 (10) Hendra Street, Cloverdale	14 Multiple Dwellings	24/1/2020

## 11. General Business

The Presiding Member announced that in accordance with Section 7.3 of the DAP Standing Orders 2017 only the Presiding Member may publicly comment on the operations or determinations of a DAP and other DAP members should not be approached to make comment.

## 12. Meeting Closure

There being no further business, the Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 11.15am.