

Community Consultation Submission Table

Submitter	Comment
Submitter #1 – received 24 March 2020	<p>I object to potential frequent comings and goings of different short stay guests of the property. These movements of people, who are most likely to be tourists, will potentially occur at any time, day and night. I have lived at my address since 1983 and, throughout this long period of time, it's been my experience that tenants of other nearby rental properties have not respected the value that home owners place on having a quiet neighbourhood, especially at night. I live very close by and could be significantly affected in this way by a change from residential to Airbnb.</p> <p>In summary, I object to the development on the grounds that my peace and quiet, and that of the rest of the street, is likely to suffer.</p>
Submitter #2 – received 1 April 2020	<p>The use will have some impact on our day to day lives for two main reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Privacy and safety impact - Constancy of having strangers accessing the site in close proximity to us. 2) Vehicle parking issues - Driveway is long and narrow and slopes downward from elevated street level. The parking bays are difficult to negotiate for the 123A Strata property. This leads to blocking the shared driveway exit to the street from time to time. Often, vehicles are parked on the verge, due to the awkward parking bays access within the 123A property footprint. Overflow vehicles parked on verge at street level, close to driveway exit, becomes a safety issue in obscuring oncoming traffic. <p>For this proposal to be approved, I would suggest Lot 123A be required to establish a separate vehicle entry from main street.</p>
Submitter #3 received 3 April 2020	<p>My objection to the 'short term accommodation' at 123A Westminster St, is based on the below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Security and Noise issues associated with short term stay accommodation. It is almost impossible to accurately screen, monitor and manage the behaviour of all potential users with this form of accommodation. A lot of the users will be 'single-use'. The overall care factor for the neighbourhood and accountability is generally less, when compared to a traditional long-term rental/owner occupier. • If any issues do arise, the tenant will have already departed, and the same issue could occur each time. The current COVID-19 issues have

also severely reduced the pool of short term stay applicants, potentially leading to a 'take any booking scenario'.

- The main reason we bought our house in this area of East Vic Park was it being a quiet family area. We actively pursued this section of East Vic Park due to the recommendations from locals regarding the quiet nature of this area.
- The sense of community and pride of house ownership is important. It is not only for the streetscape of the street, that has been improving over the past few years, but I believe it is important in fostering that sense of community, to see familiar faces. Is this consistent with the council's ambitions for the community?
- On-going responsible Parking/number of cars per visit impossible to enforce continuously.
- Large portion of elderly neighbours in surrounding houses, back to security/safety issue.

Just to confirm, I have no objection to a traditional long-term rental arrangement, only sort-term.