

DATE:	6 May 2022
FROM:	Miguel de la Mata
	Acoustics Consultants Australia – Director
TO:	Steven Russell
	Blasta Brewing Company
SUBJECT:	Lots 1-5 Goodwood Pde, Burswood – Noise Impact Assessment Addendum
REFERENCE:	10.00388R-03

Dear Steven,

Acoustics Consultants Australia (ACA) was engaged by Blasta Brewing Co. to undertake a Noise Impact Assessment of the proposed restaurant/café and brewery for Lots 1-5 Goodwood Pde, Burswood. ACA issued a report (ACA ref. 10.00388R-01, 'the Report') dated 3 February 2022, which was peer reviewed and received commentary by the Town of Victoria Park (ToVP). Following review by the ToVP, ACA issued an addendum report (ACA ref. 10.00388R-02, 'the Addendum').

The Addendum received further comments on four aspects listed in a letter by an independent reviewer engaged by the ToVP, who requested further details before the proposal may be given approval. Additionally, the review process suggests that a number of noise management controls are to be listed in a Noise Management Plan. In response, this document provides a referenced table including the comments provided by the reviewer together with our detailed response to the observed technical details.

Should you have any further queries, do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Miguel de la Mata (M.A.A.S. MIEAust) Acoustics Consultants Australia DIRECTOR e. miguel@acousticsconsultants.com.au m. +61 (0) 415 364 015 Ph. (08) 6186 4145



Table 1 Acoustic Review Register

Item	Reviewer Comment	ACA Response
1	The report has not accounted for noise emission from Comedy nights, Trivia nights, private functions or other events that the venue may hold from time to time. No assessment of locations of such activities, times, crowd behaviour or noise generated by the crowds or PA system. (This is a new item not previously raised. The comedy and trivia nights are specifically referenced on the Blasta Brewing website (www.blastabrewing.com/news/) but have not been discussed in the ACA Report or Addendum. Development Approval is not recommended until the forecasts are updated and compliance demonstrated for these activities, or where Blasta Brewing undertakes that no events are to be held at the venue which would use amplified sound which is not background music, or would alter crowd behaviour, eg simultaneous cheering and clapping from an entire audience, rather than random crowd noise as currently described.	A supplementary noise assessment of comedy rights is presented below. It is assumed that trivia rights would not be no louder than com through a writeless microphone into an amplified PA, with the difference that comedy rights include intermittent laughs by the crowd. The I therefore it is expected that the below assessment of comedy rights and trivia rights is the Beer Hall (internal areas only with closed doors and wi <i>Crowd capacity</i> . From ticket sales data of previous events held at the Blasta Brewing venue, it has been observed that the crowd proposing capping the comedy rights are planned to finish no later than 10pm. • Times: Comedy rights are planned to finish no later than 10pm. • Basound system: It is proposed that a house-purpose design PA system will be used for these activities. Typically, a roving wire • Sound anisations: Sound from speech only. Crowd responses to comedians are frequent. A full analysis of noise measurements background. Comed Nights Typicale Sound from speech only. Crowd responses to comedians are frequent. A full analysis of noise measurements Dealed Nights Typical Sessment From measurements conducted by ACA as collews: • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 people (Blasta Brewing is proposing 100 pax). • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 people (Blasta Brewing is proposing 100 pax). • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 people (Blasta Brewing is proposing 100 pax). • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 people (Blasta Brewing is proposing 100 pax). • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 meople (Blasta Brewing is proposing 100 pax). • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 meople (Blasta Brewing is proposing 100 pax). • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 meople (Blasta Brewing is proposing 100 pax). • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 meople (Blasta Brewing is proposing 100 pax). • Venue capacity: Approximately 200 meogle and the second reverberation time, yet assassed conservatively with 1: The reverberant sound pressure levels, typical spectrum, time trace and spectrogram

Acoustics Consultants Australia (WA) Pty Ltd A.B.N. 25 646 422 899 PO BOX 1537, East Victoria Park WA 6981 (08) 6186 4122 perth@acousticsconsultants.com.au

TOWN OF VICTORIA PARK Received: 17/05/2022

edy nights. Both activities are characterised by speech PA system used for all events will be the same and

ndows). varies between 90-110 pax. The venue management is

less microphone is used. conducted at a comedy night event is presented below for

nue. It would be expected that noise emissions from the

5 seconds)

ly by ACA, are summarised below:





3

	AR	esp	onse	е																								
								C	ome	dy li	nterr	nal L	eq Re	ever	bera	nt So	ound I	ress	ure	e (Me	asur	ed) -	Тур	oical	Spe	ectru	ım	
9	90																											
9	80 -																											
7	70 -				_																							
															_						_							
(gp	60 —																											
-																												
5	50 -		_		_																							
	10																											
4	40																											
3	30																											
	1	31.5 Hz	40 Hz	50 H	z 6	63 Hz	80 Hz	100 H	z 125	5 Hz 1	160 Hz	200 H	z 250	Hz	315 Hz	400 Hz	500 Ha	630 H	Hz 8	800 Hz	1000 H	z 1250) Hz	1600 Hz	z 200	0 Hz 2	2500 Hz	3150
Base belov	ed or w:	n the	sourc	ce lev	els a	as abo	ove, a	and tl	ne pro	opos	ed b	uildin	ıg faça	ade,	our b	oreak	out no	ise ca	alcul	latior	n prec	licts	La10	30 c	d B a	t the	near	es
Base belov	ed or w: acou	n the	sourc	ce lev at Be	els a er H	as abo H all B i	ove, a	and ti	ne pro	opos	ed b	uildin	ıg faça	ade,	our b	oreak	out no	ise ca	alcul	latior	n prec	licts	La10	30 c	d B a	t the	near	est
Base belov <u>Cor</u> tem/[ed or w: acou	n the	sourc	ce lev at Be	els a	as abo Hall Bi	ove, a	and ti	oise	opos	ed b	uildin	ıg faça	ade,	our b	Rating	OUT NO	ise ca		latior	n prec	licts	LA10 63	30 c	d B a	t the	near	est
Base belov <u>Cor</u> <u>Item / [</u> Typica	ed or w: acou med Descrip	n the subject of the second dy Nig	source te teacts ghts a al Spectru	at Be	els a	as abo	ove, a	and ti	oise	opos	ed b	uildin	ıg faça	ade,	our b	Rating	OUT NO	VInput dB(A) 76.3 (A		latior 25 31	n prec	50 55.7	63 60.3	30 c	100 61.4	125 61.1	160 66.2	200 66.7
Base belov <u>Cor</u> Typica Adjust	ed or w: acou med Descrip al Come ted Rev	n the	SOURC	at Be	els a	as abo	ove, a	and th	oise	opos	ed b	uildin	ig faça	ade,	our b	Rating sources	OUT NO	Vinput dB(A) 76.3 (A 81.3 (A		25 31	n prec	50 55.7 60.7 2.0	63 60.3 65.3 2.0	80 60.8 65.8 2.0	100 61.4 66.4 1.8	125 61.1 66.1 1.8	160 66.2 71.2 1.8	200 66.7 71.7
Base belov <u>Cor</u> Typica Adjust Revert Calcula	ed or w: ": acou <u>med</u> <u>Descrip</u> al Come ted Rev rberation	n the ustics const dy Nig ption edy Internet verberant n Time of verall Com	SOURC	at Be um ressure ie ound Tran	els a er H	as abo Hall Br	ove, a	out N	оіse LA10 кт60 (~400 m	OPOS 2 of dire	ect roof/f	uildin ^{façade)}	ıg faça	ade,		Rating .50 s w 26	OUT NO	VInput dB(A) 76.3 (A 81.3 (A		25 31	n prec	50 55.7 60.7 2.0 -7.3	63 60.3 65.3 2.0 -8.7	80 60.8 65.8 2.0 -10.1	100 61.4 66.4 1.8 -11.5	125 61.1 66.1 1.8 -12.9	160 66.2 71.2 1.8 -14.3	200 66.7 71.7 1.7 -15.8
Base belov <u>Cor</u> Typica Adjust Revert calcule	ed or W: acou med Descrip al Come ted Rev rberation lated Ov	n the dy Nig dy Nig edu Interna verberant in Time of verall Com	SOURC	at Be um ressure ie ound Tran	els a er H	as abo	ove, a	out N	Oise	ODOS	ect roof/fr	uildin ^(açade)	ng faça	ade,	R	Rating	OUT NO	ISE Ca Input dB(A) 76.3 (A 81.3 (A 77.6 (A		25 31	n prec	50 55.7 60.7 2.0 -7.3 74.4	63 60.3 65.3 2.0 -8.7 77.6	80 60.8 65.8 2.0 -10.1 76.7	100 61.4 66.4 -11.5 75.9	125 61.1 66.1 1.8 -12.9 74.2	160 66.2 71.2 1.8 -14.3 77.9	200 66.7 71.7 -15.8 76.9
Base belov <u>Cor</u> Typica Adjust Revert Revert Revert Predia	ed or W: acou <u>med</u> <u>Descrip</u> al Come ted Rev cheration therator therator	n the dy Nic otion edy Internativerberant in Time of verall Com Room Sou	SOURC	at Be um ressure re ound Tran er Breakou	els a er H smissio at	as abo	ove, a	out N	OISE	ODOS	eed bu	uildin ^{façade)}	ng faça	ade,	R R 1 R srs 44	Rating .50 s	OUT NO	Input dB(A) 76.3 (A 81.3 (A 77.6 (A		25 31	n prec	50 55.7 60.7 2.0 -7.3 74.4	63 60.3 65.3 2.0 -8.7 77.6	80 60.8 65.8 2.0 -10.1 76.7	100 61.4 66.4 -11.5 75.9	125 61.1 66.1 1.8 -12.9 74.2	160 66.2 71.2 1.8 -14.3 77.9	200 66.7 71.7 1.7 1.5.8 76.9
Cor <u>kem / C</u> Typica Adjust Revert Calcule ‡ Revert Predik ‡ Plane S	ed or W: acou med Descrip al Come ted Rev ted Rev ted Rev ted Rev ted Rev ted Rev source	n the dy Nic dy Nic ption edy Internat verberant n Time of verall Con Room Sou loise at S Propagal	I Spectru Sound Provide Sound	at Be um ressure re Breakou er Breakou e Receive	els a er H smissio ut	as abo	ove, a	and the second sec	Oise	2 of dire	eed bi	uildin ^{façade})	ng faça	receive	R R I R R R R I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Rating .50 s w 26 00 m ²	/Broadban dB	Input dB(A) 76.3 (A 81.3 (A 77.6 (A 35.0 m		25 31	1.5 40	50 55.7 60.7 2.0 -7.3 74.4	63 60.3 65.3 2.0 -8.7 77.6 -48.1	80 60.8 65.8 2.0 -10.1 76.7 -48.1	100 61.4 66.4 1.8 75.9 -48.1	125 61.1 66.1 1.8 -12.9 74.2 -48.1	160 66.2 71.2 1.8 -14.3 77.9 -48.1	200 66.7 71.7 -15.8 76.9 -48.1
Base belov <u>Cor</u> Typica Adjust Revert Calcule ‡ Revert Predik ‡ Plane S Predik	ed or W: , (acou med Descrip al Come ted Rev rberation beration ted Rev rberation ted Rev ted	n the dy Nic otion edy Internativerberant in Time of verberant Com Room Sou loise at S e Propagal institive Rec	SOURC in the second provided the second provi	at Be um ressure ie ound Tran er Breakou e Receive - Point Sc Om from th	els a er H smissio ut urce Re urce Re	as abo	reake	er Hall	Oise	2 of dire	eed bu	uildin façade) nd façad	es looking	receive	R R 1 R rrs 44	Rating	/Broadban dB	S/Input dB(A) 76.3 (A 81.3 (A 77.6 (A 35.0 m 29.6 (A		25 31	n prec	50 55.7 60.7 2.0 -7.3 74.4 -48.1 26.4	63 60.3 2.0 -8.7 77.6 -48.1 29.6	80 60.8 65.8 2.0 -10.1 76.7 -48.1 28.7	100 61.4 66.4 1.8 -11.5 75.9 -48.1 27.9	125 61.1 66.1 74.2 -48.1 26.2	160 66.2 71.2 1.8 -14.3 77.9 -48.1 29.8	200 66.7 71.7 -15.4 76.9 -48.4
Cor tem / [Typica Adjust Revert Predic Predic Predic Result Wors Wors	ed or W: acou med Descrip al Come ted Rev theration lated Ov theration lated Ov theration source t at Sen st-Cas	n the dy Nic ption edy Internat verberant n Time of verall Con Room Sou loise at S e Propagal isitive Rec ase as ase as	I Spectru Sound Provide States	at Be um ressure re Breakou er Breakou er Breakou ound Tran er Breakou ound Tran ound Tran ound Tran ound Tran cound Tran ound Tran	els a er H smissio it urce Re urce Re rs (Re urce Re for C igne	as abo Hall Br on Through esidents) Region r Hall Comec ed nois	reaka h the Bee	and the second sec	Oise LA10 RT60 (~400 m Sound P LA10 LA10	2 of dire 2 of dire Power of uld b 4 dB)	eed bi	uildin ^(açade) ^{(d} façado	evenir	receive	R R I R I I C I C I C I C I C I C I C I	Rating .50 s w 26 10.0 m wher	/Broadban dB	Input dB(A) 76.3 (A 81.3 (A 35.0 m 29.6 (A Ssigne	alcul	25 31	1.5 40	50 55.7 60.7 2.0 -7.3 74.4 -48.1 26.4	63 60.3 65.3 2.0 -8.7 77.6 -48.1 29.6 44.1	80 60.8 65.8 2.0 -10.1 76.7 -48.1 28.7 dB a	100 61.4 66.4 1.8 -11.5 75.9 - -48.1 27.9	125 61.1 66.1 74.2 -48.1 26.2 26.2 ess. T	160 66.2 71.2 1.8 -14.3 77.9 -48.1 29.8	200 66.7 71.7 1.7 -15.8 76.9 -48.1 28.8 the

Acoustics Consultants Australia (WA) Pty Ltd A.B.N. 25 646 422 899 PO BOX 1537, East Victoria Park WA 6981 (08) 6186 4122 perth@acousticsconsultants.com.au



ance.



ltem	Reviewer Comment	ACA Response
2	'The Report and Addendum have inaccurately calculated the noise emission from external crowds. The Haynes formula has been incorrectly used. The resultant external crowd noise has been underestimated by approximately 7 - 8 dB	It is presumed that the reviewer is referring to the internal logarithmic factor used in our crowd calculations, which is divided by the group G is a correction for the maximum number of people estimated to <u>talk at the same time</u> . Our typical assumption for venues of this size is t
	On this basis there remain ongoing concerns for excessive noise emission after 10pm, and on Sunday and Public holidays.	same time. At smaller venues (e.g. small bars) this number tends to be smaller, as groups in the venue are reduced in size, tables are sn believed that our calculations would not lead to underestimation. Conversely, it is known that by using the Haynes formula without the Gro
	Development Approval is not recommended until the forecasts are updated and compliance demonstrated'	ACA confirms that after review, we maintain our view that the external crowds noise levels have been correctly assessed.
		In the case where the outdoor dining area crowd noise is increased, as suggested by using the Haynes formula without a G correction, the instead of 38 dBA (7 dB louder), which would lead to an overall L_{A10} 47 dBA inclusive of all noise sources, instead of 44 dBA. Under this so level would be predicted. This would lead into treatments required for the outdoor dining area (but not the alley outdoor area). No further of is ACA's opinion that no such exceedance would take place.
3	'Reduced night-time crowd numbers will result in reduced noise emissions, both internally and externally, as noted in	A Noise Management Plan will be prepared, upon request by the Town.
	the Addendum. Final approval, will require the operations to demonstrate, via a Noise Management Plan, to the patiefaction of the Town, how this nighttime growd reduction	It is ACA's opinion that imposing venue capacity controls, subject to times of the day can be difficult to control for venues. Other than closing
	is to be managed and enforced.'	It is proposed that the venue capacity (head count) is monitored during the initial phase of the venue, from the day of opening. This should are being represented by actual patron numbers.
4	'Apart from controlling crowd numbers, the Noise Management Plan will also need to fully address the recommendations and detail in the Report.	A site-specific Noise Management Plan (where required) will be prepared as a condition of the DA approval
	In particular:	
	 Windows and doors which need to remain closed, at various times for compliance with the Noise Regulations. This is in addition to the acoustic performance of glazing as nominated in Appendix C of the Report. 	
	 Maximum Sound Power Level of each item of external building services plant at the site. Size, location and construction of barriers required to reduce noise levels, and to ensure that any tonality from the plant has been addressed 	
	• Times of day and locations for deliveries and pickups at site	
	• Façade construction elements, including doors, glazing, roof and walls. Exact treatments to be used to seal gaps	
	 Amplified speaker locations, orientations, types of resilient mounts, noise levels at 1m from the speakers, and times of usage 	
	 Reverberation times, and corresponding areas of, locations of and performances of acoustic absorption panelling within indoor spaces 	
	 Additional treatments required by the applicant, in the event that residential development occurs adjacent to, or in the vicinity of the applicant's site. It is noted that under such a scenario, it is the responsibility of the Brewery to reduce their environmental noise emission to any potential nearby residential. Note that such treatments are not needed until and unless residential or other sensitive development occurs in the immediate vicinity of the Brewery 	
	• Documenting effective procedures for the management of noise from the site, including	
	 noise monitoring control of natron's behaviour entering and looving the site 	
	 signage, 	
	 actions to control noise from PA systems, 	
	 response to noise complaints, 	

TOWN OF VICTORIA PARK Received: 17/05/2022

number (G). Lw_{A10} = 15 Log (crowd/G) + 67

that 1 out of 3 people in the venue would be talking at the maller, etc. From measurements conducted by ACA, it is roup correction would lead to overpredictions.

the <u>contribution</u> of outdoor dining alone would be L_{A10} 45 dBA cenario, a 3 dB exceedance of the night-time assigned noise discussion is provided under this scenario; however, since it

ing entire areas at certain times, this is typically not practical.

be reviewed on the weekly basis to ensure the assumptions