

minutes

Elected Members Briefing
Session



TOWN OF
VICTORIA PARK

To: His Worship the Mayor and Councillors

Please be advised that an Elected Members Briefing Session commenced at **6.30pm** on **Tuesday 5 February 2019** in the **Council Chambers**, Administration Centre at 99 Shepperton Road, Victoria Park.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A Vuleta'.

MR ANTHONY VULETA
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

11 February 2019

1 OPENING

Mayor Vaughan opened the meeting at 6.30pm.

2 ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER

2.1 Recording of Proceedings

In accordance with clause 5.14 of the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*, as the Presiding Member, I hereby give my permission for only the Administration to record proceedings of this meeting.

2.2 Public Question & Public Statement Time

There are guidelines that need to be adhered to in our Council meetings and during question and statement time people speaking are not to personalise any questions, or statements about Elected Members, or staff or use any possible defamatory remarks.

In accordance with clause 5.15 of the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*, a person addressing the Council shall extend due courtesy and respect to the Council and the processes under which it operates and shall comply with any direction by the presiding member.

A person present at or observing a meeting shall not create a disturbance at a meeting, by interrupting or interfering with the proceedings, whether by expressing approval or dissent, by conversing or by any other means.

When the presiding member speaks during public question time or public statement time any person then speaking, is to immediately stop and every person present is to preserve strict silence so that the presiding member may be heard without interruption.

2.3 No Adverse Reflection

In accordance with clause 14.1 of the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*, both Elected Members and the public when speaking are not to reflect adversely on the character or actions of Elected Members or employees

2.4 Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011

All meetings of the Council, committees and the electors are to be conducted in accordance with the Act, the Regulations and the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*.

2.5 Additional Comments

Mayor Vaughan welcomed everyone back for 2019 and welcome to Mr Hancock, who is in attendance as Acting Manager Development Services.

3 ATTENDANCE

Mayor:	Mr T (Trevor) Vaughan
Banksia Ward:	Cr C (Claire) Anderson Cr J (Julian) Jacobs Cr R (Ronhda) Potter Cr K (Karen) Vernon
Jarraah Ward:	Cr J (Jennifer) Ammons Noble Cr B (Bronwyn) Ife Cr B (Brian) Oliver Cr V (Vicki) Potter (Deputy Mayor)
Chief Executive Officer:	Mr A (Anthony) Vuleta
Chief Operations Officer:	Mr B (Ben) Killigrew
Acting Chief Financial Officer:	Mr L (Luke) Ellis
Chief Community Planner:	Ms N (Natalie) Martin Goode
A/Manager Development Services	Mr M (Michael) Hancock
Senior Governance Officer	Ms D (Danielle) Uniza
Secretary:	Mrs A (Alison) Podmore
Public:	5

3.1 Apologies

Manager Development Services Mr R (Robert) Cruickshank

3.2 Approved Leave of Absence

Nil.

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Declaration of Financial Interests

Nil.

Declaration of Proximity Interest

Nil.

Declaration of Interest affecting impartiality

Nil.

5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

5.1 Responses to Questions Raised and Taken on Notice at the Elected Member Briefing Session held on Tuesday 4 December 2018

Sam Zammit

1. How long has Council owned the IGA laneway?
- R. The Town of Victoria Park has owned the land since 1970, however it was decided to request a title search from Landgate to ensure that information provided was correct and factual. Due to a delay in receiving the information, it was decided to contact you and advise of the delayed response. The confirmation has since been received from Landgate, confirming the Town's ownership since 1970, as advised at the 11 December 2018 Ordinary Council Meeting.

With regard to the laneway (ROW 52) previously being a shop, the Town cannot confirm that this was the case as there are no records available.

Vince Maxwell

1. With regards to the salary bands in the annual report and the staff whose salaries are displayed, are they in the same sort of proportions, percentage wise, roughly?
- R. The information you have requested is restricted by legislation and confidentiality however, the Town can advise generally that in addition to salary, additional benefits include superannuation and vehicle benefits. These have been explained further below.

Superannuation

The Town grants the employee superannuation contributions in accordance with the *Superannuation Guarantee (Administration) Act 1992*.

All employees covered under the Town of Victoria Park Enterprise Agreement are paid superannuation at the rate of 10.5% a year (or 1% above the government superannuation guaranteed rate) with direct incentives for length of continuous service with the Town and employee contribution as per the tables outlined in clause 38 of the Enterprise Agreement.

Vehicle benefit

The current vehicle benefit for Coordinators up to the Chief Executive Officer level ranges from \$10,000 to \$30,000 per annum. The vehicle benefit is paid fortnightly as an allowance.

5.2 Responses to Questions Raised at the Elected Members Briefing Session held Tuesday 5 February 2019

Sam Zammit

1. Are you going to reconsider reforming the Safety Committee?
- R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan said that the Town is always reviewing its committee structures and will continue to do so.

2. I call the office often, reporting different issues within the Town; I waited up to six hours for a person to ring me back, to be told at 2pm in the afternoon that this person was on annual leave. Don't your reception know when your staff are on leave?
- R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan said that the staff are continually reviewing that as well and that if someone has a concern we can take note of it and why it might not have happened in this instance. Of course, there are times when people are on leave and things can't happen as quickly as you may hope.

The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta added that there is a way of notifying the front counter that a person is on annual leave and as suggested, it is pretty simple. Mr Vuleta wasn't sure why it didn't occur in this instance and said he would be happy to get information and find out what went on in this space.

Luana Lisandro

1. Is the Playtime in the Park event, to be held in Reid Park, mentioned in response to motion three of the Annual Electors' Meeting, a once-off event?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode advised that Playtime in the Park is held once a year.

2. How many times within the year, is the event done throughout different parks in the Town? How are the parks determined?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said she couldn't say, but would be happy to get back to Ms Lisandro with that information

3. With regards to the significant tree register mentioned in motion 13, is the Town aware that the National Trees Trust is looking for recommendations and nomination for Western Australian (WA) significant trees? Will the Town consider looking at its current tree stock and basically nominating any significant trees in the Town?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said no he hadn't been informed of the National Trees Trust. Mr Killigrew said that he could discuss that internally.

Sam Zammit

1. I had to ring up to see when my verge collection was on because I didn't get my calendar. Why are some people getting them and some people aren't?
- R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan said he couldn't understand that, as he was of the understanding they are posted out in the mail, through Australia Post.

2. Is it right that 973 Albany Highway has been designated/passed for a temple?

- R. The Acting Manager Development Services, Mr Michael Hancock, said that there is currently compliance action being undertaken. It is a fast food outlet and they are potentially operating outside of their approved hours. It is not a temple, it is approved as a fast food outlet.
3. There is a bit of controversy Mr Mayor, concerned about the Town dropping the prayer; are they worried about nothing or is it going to happen, because that offends people apparently?
- R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan said that it is currently out for public consultation, so those people concerned should make sure they put their submission in. The consultation runs for six weeks.
4. How are you doing your consultation Mr Mayor?
- R. The Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza, advised that once Council approves advertising of the Meeting Procedures Local Law, which is still in its draft form, people will be able to put in their submissions either by email, coming into the office or letting any staff member know. Any submission that is received relating to that local law will be presented to Council for consideration before the adoption happens.

6 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

Sam Zammitt

In relation to the his question on the prayer, Mr Zammit added he wasn't sure how it could be offensive and said we were talking about God, we all have our God.

Tom Leeming

Mr Leeming made a statement regarding Item 14.5 thanking the officers and the elected members in what has been a lengthy engagement. He added that he was happy that both clubs have come to an agreement and urged elected members to approve option six of the recommendation.

Luana Lisandro

Ms Lisandro made a statement regarding motion three from the Annual Electors' Meeting and expressed her concerns that the community have to wait until November, after community consultation takes place. With regards to Motion 13, she hoped that the Town could add to its significant tree register.

Mr Ellis left the room at 6.45pm and returned at 6.46pm.

7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLVED:

Moved: Cr Jacobs

Seconded: Cr Anderson

That the minutes of the Elected Members Briefing Session meeting held on Tuesday 4 December 2018 be confirmed.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (9-0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Vaughan; Cr Ammons Noble; Cr Anderson; Cr Ife; Cr Jacobs; Cr Oliver; Cr R Potter; Cr V Potter; and Cr Vernon

8 PRESENTATIONS

8.1 Petitions

Nil.

8.2 Presentations (Awards to be given to the Town)

Nil.

8.3 Deputations (Planning / External Organisations)

6.50pm Paul Cunningham from Rowe Group was in attendance to discuss Item 11.1.

9 METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS

RESOLVED:

Moved: Cr Ife

Seconded: Cr Vernon

That item 14.5 - Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee - 31 Rushton Street, Burswood - Victoria Park Croquet Club relocation to co-locate with Higgins Park Tennis Club, be dealt with at the beginning of the meeting.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (9-0)

In favour of the Motion: Mayor Vaughan; Cr Ammons Noble; Cr Anderson; Cr Ife; Cr Jacobs; Cr Oliver; Cr R Potter; Cr V Potter; and Cr Vernon

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:

Cr Ammons Noble

1. Is the wording in this recommendation relating to funds from the state (on behalf of tennis club) sufficient to accurately describe the transfer of funds —i.e. does that grant come from state directly or via club and do we need to change anything to make sure it's accurately reflected?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said that recommendation could be confusing but if read with the remainder of the report, it does cover off on the grant coming from the state government to the club and then through to the Town. In the financial implications section, there are two paragraphs that give more of a background.

Cr Vernon

1. Has consideration been given to 1: any guidelines for that governance arrangement; and 2: the timing upon which the governance arrangements are to be resolved to the satisfaction of the Chief Executive Officer. Is it to be prior to the commencement of the works or is it to be allowed to take a longer period of time to be implemented, namely even after the works have begun but not yet been completed?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised that the intention is that the governance arrangement would be finalised prior to the works, it isn't mentioned, but that is the intent of that statement. It is Mr Killigrew's understanding that the tennis and croquet clubs have been working for some time on this arrangement and from the administrations perspective it was important that the clubs, as the experts on the facility and their operations, come to the Town with a proposal of how the governance of those facilities would operate in the best interest of both clubs before an investment by the Town was undertaken.

10 – 14 REPORTS

Section 1

No questions were asked in relation to the following:

- Item 10.5 Proposed GEN2 Banner Masts and Flag Poles – Use Of
- Item 11.1 Report on Submissions – Scheme Amendment 78 to Town Planning Scheme No. 1 to Recode No. 384 (Lot 3) Berwick Street, East Victoria Park from Residential R30 to R40/R60
- Item 12.2 TVP/18/13 – Taylor Reserve & McCallum Park Stage 1 - River Edge
- Item 12.3 TVP/19/04 Construction Works of Kent Street and Hayman Road Roundabout Upgrade
- Item 12.5 Proposed Closure of Right of Way Bounded by Grantham Place, Harris Street, Mercury Street and Star Street (ROW17)
- Item 12.6 TVP/18/12 for General Building Maintenance and Roofing repairs for Council Owned Buildings
- Item 14.1 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee - Schedule of accounts for 30 November 2018
- Item 14.2 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee - Financial statements for the month ending 30 November 2018
- Item 14.3 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee - Schedule of accounts for 31 December 2018
- Item 14.4 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee - Financial statements for the month ending 31 December 2018
- Item 14.7 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee - Adoption of EM6 Fees, Expenses and Allowances – Elected Members and Independent Committee Members Policy
- Item 14.8 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee - Local Government Compliance Audit 2018

Section 2:

Council Agenda Modifications; Additional Information Sought; Questions and Responses – in relation to the following:

Item 10.1 2018 Annual Electors Meeting – Motions Carried

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:

Cr Oliver

1. Considering the proposed further actions as the substance of motion two have been previously raised by Cr Ronhda Potter, how would the CEO feel about an amendment to this further action being along the lines of “presenting options and analysis of live streaming of council meetings and provide a report to council by August 2019?”

- R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza said yes, the administration can definitely look into changing that for the Ordinary Council Meeting. However, to clarify, the options presented to Council will be related to whether or not Council will approve the live-streaming of its meetings, not any relevance to the provider that the administration decide to go with.
2. So we don't delay further investigation of this, would the further action be that Council resolve to proceed that we would consider the live streaming, so that investigation can happen for the intent, how I assume the motion and assume Cr R Potter previously raised?
- R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza said that the administration can change it to something along those lines. Some background on this is that the administration is already pretty far into the investigation stage as far as the live streaming goes. The intent is to put it up during the annual budget for 2019-2020, to seek some funding for that. Once that is approved, it will be progressed pretty quickly, as officers have already explored a few options.
3. Picking up on the point from Ms Lisandro in regards to motion three, I support the principle of engaging the community, but reporting back to council by November seems a bit ridiculous. How can we action these principles of the motion in a more timely manner, recognising that we may not reintroduce the Moreton Bay Fig Festival to our events calendar?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said that the Town can certainly bring that forward. The earliest the Town could have a report to Council would be May, which would align with doing consultation for February, March and April on not just that matter, but there was also going to be a report come back in May about community consultation relating to the Town's 25 year anniversary ideas.
4. In regards to motion eight, why is the further action for this for the Community Development Committee to review the process to accommodate and administer future flag requests? Can this motion not be handled administratively by the Chief Executive Officer and if so can this further action be amended?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, said he agreed that this motion can be handled administratively. There was some confusion regarding the installation of the flag pole and the flag pole policy that has probably made the statement a little confusing, so we are happy to handle the change internally.
5. In regards to motion ten, is this laneway part of the Town's Right-of-Way (ROW) renewal list? If so, what priority is this ROW and is it being considered as part of the 2019-20 budget?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, said the laneway isn't prioritised in the Town's renewal program and that it comes in at about number 20 in laneway renewals and upgrades. However, through the liaison between the operations team and the place planning team, the place planners have indicated that there is the potential for some focus on this laneway from more of a place perspective. As you will understand, the Town's future budgets will be more informed by the place strategies that are developed going forward. So there is a glimmer of hope in terms of the laneway being re-prioritised from a place perspective.

Cr Ammons Noble

1. In regards to motion six, when are the draft details of that implementation plan expected to be available?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, advised that the Urban Forest Strategy (UFS) implementation plan itself is anticipated to be completed by the end of this financial year. The draft will be made available prior to that, so in the month of June. The current focus is on establishing the overarching framework and governance structure of what is called the 'Transition to Implementation Advisory Group' which includes the members of the UFS team and the community members. They have met a number of times to get that under way.

Cr R Potter

1. In regards to motion 13, how will we go about advising residents of this?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said it is his understanding that the significant tree register has been updated and posted on the Town's website. Mr Killigrew said he would have to confirm with the communications team as to whether any further communication has gone out to the public, regarding the location of that tree register and its updating. Mr Killigrew said he would get back to Cr R Potter with further information.

Item 10.2 Local Government Elections 2019 - Appointment of Western Australian Electoral CommissionCouncil Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:**Cr Oliver**

1. In reference to point three of the recommendation, I believe the motion needs to be more prescriptive in what we are seeking to achieve, whether that is either increasing voter turnout or more candidates nominating for election to council. I was wondering if this point could be clarified in the Ordinary Council Meeting report?
- R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta said yes that can be clarified. The administration will put some supporting information in there from the department that outlines what is trying to be achieved.

Item 10.3 Review of the Local Government Act 1995 – Phase Two SubmissionsCouncil Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:**Cr Vernon**

1. When is the deadline for submissions to WALGA?
R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza advised that the deadline is 22 February, when they have their first state council meeting.

2. Appendix one is said to be the result of a collaborative effort between the Town's officers and the elected members, following a number of workshops conducted with internal staff and a survey conducted with elected members. How then have the final answers to the survey questions been determined? Are they the outcome of the survey results? Are they the outcome of the staff workshop results? Are they the result of the staff workshop looking at the results? How has it been determined?
R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza advised that the final outcome was the result of looking at both, from the staff workshop as well as the survey that was sent out to elected members. Between those two, where there were diverging views, staff tried to present a more balanced position. With a submission document this big, staff were never going to achieve full consensus on what the right response was going to be. However, what staff did want to do, was deliver a more balanced approach. That said, the survey was presented to elected members, in its draft form beforehand, about two weeks before this Elected Member Briefing Session agenda was completed just to see if there were any other opinions that staff needed to consider. The same option was given to staff as well.

3. In light of your answer, how can Council make a determination to endorse these submissions, if it is not possible to tell if they reflect Council's position or if they reflect not the diverging views but the balanced approach that you say has been taken by the staff?
R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza, said that she believes that if Council feels that the views presented are a balanced view and represents what their own thoughts are on the submission, then Ms Uniza said she would urge them to support this submission. If not, then she would urge those elected members not to support the recommendation in that case.

4. Instead of putting forward a submission on behalf of the Council, that some elected members may not be able to endorse, because it contains a variety of conclusions and statements which might not be supported by that elected member; is there an option for Council to consider putting forward a submission that only contains the answers to those survey questions that were agreed upon unanimously by everyone and separately put in a submission identifying the matters upon which there was no consensus?
R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza said that is possible. The way the survey questions were laid out to the general public as well, is if anybody that has an opinion or has a view of this Local Government Act Review, are urged to put in a submission. That being said, there is nothing stopping Council from writing two different submissions, if that is what Council wants to do at this time.

Cr Oliver

1. In regards to the Town's submission to the department, on page 45 in regards to question two, which asks 'To what extent are you concerned about behaviour and good governance in local government', we replied 'a moderate amount'. I wondered if we would consider expanding on our response in the further comment section of our submission.
 - R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza said yes, that could be expanded. For the benefit of the gallery, the reason why the Town responded a moderate amount was because the Town was answering not within the confines of the Town, but looking at the local government sector more broadly outside its borders. Elected Members may be aware that in the last three years there have been a number of CCC investigations into matters of governance and misconduct in the local government sector and because of that, the Town believes there is a moderate amount of concern, just in the sector in general.
2. On page 62, and page 79 of the WALGA submission, why are we unsupportive of council members not having to take a leave of absence on the day they nominate for a State or Federal election?
 - R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza, said that this is one of those questions that the Town did get diverging views, so tried to find an approach that did represent both. On one end, there were opinions that elected members should resign upon entering a bid for state or federal election and on the other hand, there were opinions that elected members should be impartial, no matter what. So because of that, what was gathered was that in general, the Town is not supportive of a leave of absence approach but perhaps open to a different approach.

Cr Oliver asked if we could reconsider that position before it being considered by Council.

4. On page 63, why are we neutral on how frequent council elections are held?
 - R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza said this was another one where there were quite diverging views. There were really good arguments on both ends, whether the Town just stayed with the status quo with the biennial local government elections or whether local government elections be held less frequently. Because of there being strong arguments against both, the Town decided to leave it as neutral and see what the rest of the sector has to say, because after all, these are just survey questions at this time.

Cr Oliver said in light of that response that he request that the Town reconsider its position because we shouldn't leave it up to others to make that decision for us.

5. Also on page 63, why do we think there should be a maximum dollar amount that a candidate can spend on a campaign?
 - R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza, said that this response was largely guided by the discussion papers presented by the department. In general what they found in their research was that money spent of campaigns has been increasing over the last few years. Knowing that, and while spending a lot of money in an election isn't inherently a bad thing, it does deter a lot people that may want to

nominate, especially when campaign spending has to come out of their own pockets. Putting a cap on campaign spending moves us away from this arms race based on financial capacity of candidates. Alongside that, a few other jurisdictions already implement this, which is Tasmania, New South Wales and Ms Uniza believes that Queensland are also thinking of doing the same thing, based on a CCC investigation that happened in that state.

6. On page 67, as well as page 78 of the WALGA submission, why do we think that councils should have the option for providing a directly elected deputy mayor?
- R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza advised that this answer was provided specifically to reflect the Town's strategic outcome nine under civic leadership which states that 'Appropriate devolution of decision making and service provision to an empowered community.' With other jurisdictions like Tasmania and the City of Melbourne already using this method, the Town believes it is a good recommendation to ensure that the review of the Local Government Act gives consideration to devolving more decision making to the community, where possible.

Item 10.4 Proposed Memorandum of Understanding between City of Perth, City of South Perth, City of Subiaco, City of Vincent and the Town of Victoria Park - Collaboration Opportunities on Strategic Issues Impacting Local Government

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:

Cr Vernon

1. On page 83 of the appendices at clause 2.2(8), there appears to be some highlighted blue commentary that looks like an officer's comment included suggesting it be deleted. Is that intended to be included in the MOU?
- R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza, said that at this time, with the EMBS agenda being a draft agenda for the OCM, the Town used the copy of the MOU that was received from its administrators, the City of Perth. What the Town could do between now and the OCM, is co-ordinate with them to see if we can get a final copy of that memorandum, which may or may not change slightly, based on discussion around that blue text.

Cr Ammons Noble

1. Is there a formal mechanism for regular reporting against the activity of this MOU? Have we done this in the past with other MOUs?
- R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta, said that there isn't, but there could be, and it would be up to this Council to put something in place. It is reasonable. Mr Vuleta said he doesn't recall if any of the Town's other MOUs had a reporting component to it. Mr Vuleta said it was a good idea to gauge how effective they are moving forward and would be happy to put some words together on that issue.

Item 11.2 Preferred Model for the introduction of Third Party Appeal Rights for Decisions made by Development Assessment Panels – Submission to Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA)Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:**Cr Vernon**

1. This submission is to be based on an option that was most favoured at a workshop held in 25 local governments out of 139 local governments in WA. Have we as a Council consulted with our community over their views about whether third party appeal rights should be limited to only decisions of the Development Assessment Panel (DAP) or whether we as a Council should be supporting unrestricted third party appeal rights on planning matters in WA?
R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode, said there has been no community consultation on that matter that she is aware of.
2. Is it possible for us to consult with our community over this issue, before making any submission?
R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode, said that the comments are due the day after the OCM. Ms Martin Goode said that she would have to ask for an extension from WALGA, so it is possible, and although it is very late in the piece to be asking for an extension, she is happy to ask.
3. Is there a particular reason or rationale why we are not supporting broader third party appeal rights as opposed to the limited third party appeal right against DAP decisions only? If there isn't a rationale for why we are not supporting the broader third party appeal rights, is that because we are only considering the preferred option that came from that limited workshop?
R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode, said there were two aspects to that question, and will answer the latter first. The advice from WALGA was a request for local government to consider specifically that preferred option. Ms Martin Goode said she was heavily involved in the workshops while working at another local government and in the previous reports to WALGA there was a very detailed explanation and analysis of all of the four options. If Council are interested in that detailed analysis, they are encouraged to look at. The rationale behind supporting the preferred option, which is just about third party appeal rights for Joint Development Assessment Panel (JDAP) applications, is that it was a reasonable starting point, rather than having appeal rights for all types of planning applications. Let's assume it comes into legislation as operational, there are many process and legislative things that need to be ironed out to make sure it is operating in a reasonable way, if and possibly when it may be rolled out to some other planning applications. It was seen as a first step to test that and see how it would be processed.

4. To clarify that answer, is the rationale that WALGA offers for this being the preferred option? Or is that the rationale that this Council should be invited to endorse this submission?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode, said that is the rationale that WALGA has provided and WALGA has asked local government to comment specifically on that matter alone. That is not to say that if Council wanted to expand on that. When you read the recommendation, there are two parts to it. Part one is about endorsing the preferred option and part two was about more consultation to come if it does enter into legislation. If Council wanted further recommendations or commentary on that, Ms Martin Goode said she would be happy to discuss that and help word that.

Cr Ammons Noble

1. Can additional commentary be provided regarding the risks associated with elected members being pressured into an appeal? Is this suggesting that an appeal could be made that is not representative of the community?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode, advised that there are probably two risks for elected members if the instance is that they felt pressured into an appeal by the community, and those two would be reputational and financial. The financial risk relates to the cost of the appeal. Complex appeals could range between \$10,000 and \$100,000. There is also a financial risk that is not specific to the Town but specific to the local government sector if this legislation was to come in and it would be about uncertainty for developers, uncertainty in terms of if you have a planning approval because at the moment only the applicant can make an appeal. Uncertainty in the whole development industry, once you get a planning approval, you are unsure as to whether there is going to an appeal from the community or from the local government. The second risk is about our reputation. Let's assume there is pressure on elected members to lodge a third party appeal from the community. One of the reputational risks is that if that is done and is lost, that's a reputational risk. There is also reputational risk if council under this pressure from the community decide not to lodge an appeal - that is also a reputational risk.
2. When you talk about uncertainty for developers, how have other states dealt with that particular risk?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode, said that WA is one of the few states in Australia that doesn't have third party appeal rights. So with the fact that development is occurring in other states, Ms Martin Goode thinks that has been factored into the risk that a developer takes when they lodge a planning application.

Item 12.1 TVP/18/20 – GO Edwards Reserve Stages 2 & 3

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:**Cr Oliver**

1. On page 60 it states that stages two and three designs and specifications were finalised. Is it possible for Elected Members and the community to view these designs?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said yes it is. The designs are detailed designs which means they are engineering drawings. They are not very inspiring but they are drawn from the concept plan that was originally endorsed by Council, so would be happy to provide the overarching design, if that will help.

Cr Ammons Noble

1. When were the concept plans related to this tender endorsed by Council?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said they were endorsed at the OCM of 10 June 2014.

Item 12.4 Acceptance of grant funding – Main Roads Western Australia grants funding 2018 / 2019Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:**Cr Vernon**

1. At page 83, there is a reference to the third project that was not suitable for commonwealth funding but did fall within the state program, being the intersections of Shepperton Road and Miller Street. Will that project be commencing in the 2019 calendar year and if not, when will it commence?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, said that it was his understanding that it will begin in the 2019 calendar year.

Cr R Potter

1. There is no mention of the intersection of Orrong Road and Roberts Road and wondered where that is sitting in the blackspot funding?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, said that the solution to the right turn at Roberts Road onto Orrong Road was for a left turn with a U-turn on Orrong Road to turn right. Main Roads have been diligent in assessing that option and have been struggling to find the right location for the U-turn on Orrong Road to make that a viable option. They have nominated not to support it.

Item 12.7 Execution of the Public Transport Authority's Licence to Occupy for the Rutland Avenue Shared Path ProjectCouncil Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:**Cr Oliver**

1. In the report, it states that the Town has been in negotiations with the Public Transport Authority (PTA) regarding land access since April 2017. That is 20 months to reach an outcome. Do we consider the time to reach an outcome has been fast or slow?
 - R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, said that he shared the frustration. The Town provided design and other project information in a timely manner to PTA for their approval but the delays experienced have mainly been due to the PTA and their differences between the Department of Transport (DoT) and the difficulty coming to a resolution between the two agencies. There was also a six month delay due to PTA misplacing the Town's original submission which resulted in the Town having to resubmit project information. In addition, PTA's internal approval process is complex and has been difficult to walk through. Mr Killigrew said that a previous project on Goodwood Parade led to the intervention of the Minister. That project is still delayed by 12 months. The Town does share the frustration, but are working very diligently with both departments to try to get to an outcome.
2. I understand the challenge that the Town has managing relationships with these departments but do we actually raise this with relevant Ministers, our local member, who is the Treasurer and the now opposition, because obviously nothing has changed?
 - R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, said that at an officer level, absolutely. The Town raises it with people at our levels. In terms of the advocacy to Ministers and MPs that isn't done at his level, but as you would understand, has been done from time to time with the support from the CEO.

Mayor Vaughan said it would be appropriate that he would follow up as well as we have had that discussion before with the now Minister.

Item 13.1 Trial of Altered User Pays Parking ArrangementsCouncil Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:**Cr Vernon**

1. What is the rationale for decreasing the amount of free parking from 30 minutes to 15 minutes in trial number one that appears on page 105 of the report, at what are identified as the busiest times of the day, namely lunchtime and evening?
R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the changes that have been outlined within the report are varied and they are against a controlled element. The Town will maintain no changes within an area that is very close to an area that there are changes, so that we can remove any anomalies from the data, whether that be something new opening or something closing, or other uncontrollables affecting the data. We are then changing the timing. The intent of the Town's endorsed Parking Management Plan is to achieve 90% parking occupancy. In simple terms one in ten bays free, allowing customers to access businesses. When parking is in short supply and we would like to encourage turnover of bays, we are proposing to reduce the free period and to collect data to find out if it impacted the occupancy and if it did, to what extent, and how that might inform parking management in the future.

2. How will reducing the amount of free parking from 30 minutes to 15 minutes, at the busiest times of the day and evening, for our food and beverage business, assist the economic development of those businesses in the Town of Victoria Park?
R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the Town is aiming to determine exactly that. The recommendation has been made on the basis that price is the biggest motivator for bay turnover. On that basis, the more free time that is provided, the less turnover of bays we will see, resulting in less available bays for people to visit food and beverage. Noting that food and beverage is one type of business within that space. A variety of options for parkers to visit different businesses for different lengths of time is something that we would be able to assess.

3. What is the rationale for increasing the price of parking in trial two, on page 105, to \$1.10/hour, during the peak times of lunch and evening, other than to increase revenue?
R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that recommendations within the report are aimed to test whether price impacts bay turnover and if it does, to what extent it does so. Within the report, we recommend the installation of some parking sensors, which will give very accurate data of customer habits and behaviour and their responses to price changes. We do note that there are impacts to charging people more. On that basis, we have restricted it to a very small number of bays in an area where there are many free bays available, and the most expensive option that is proposed, is still very cheap within the Perth area.

4. How does making parking more expensive assist in the economic development of businesses in this section of Albany Highway, who are already struggling to attract customers to their businesses?
R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the aim of the trial is to determine that. It is a small number of bays impacted and we see that if bays are locked up by people parking for an extended periods of time, that fewer people are able to access the businesses to trade with them.

5. Regarding appendix two, the financial cost implications of the trial, is there a breakdown available showing how each of these total figures have been derived, and can that be made available for the OCM?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that further detail could be provided to elected members however, we would be getting to the point where we are providing pricing on very specific things that we do at a very detailed level. It would take officer time to provide that and Mr Ellis thinks that risks diving into the price of individual items rather than the cost of the trial itself.
6. Does that mean that no detailed costing has been done in order to come up with the final figures contained in the cost implication schedule?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said detailed costings have been undertaken.
7. Can those detailed costings be made available?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis said they can.
8. Will those detailed costings be made available?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said he was unsure at this time what the best mechanism is to do that, but will make sure the elected members get a copy of it.

Cr Ammons Noble

1. With the trial lasting six months, when will we see the outcomes report?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis said the Town aims to assess the data of the trial and the estimate from staff, noting the other tasks that they undertake operationally, the aim is to have it in front of elected members in two months.
2. Is that two (2) months at the end of the trial?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said yes, and there would be visibility of summary results, that staff would like to communicate to the community throughout the trial.
3. Am I right in understanding that the trial would commence in March?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the report indicates a two to four week lead time from the Town's suppliers, so assuming it is endorsed, as per the officer recommendation that is reasonable.
3. Who would be assessing any data that was collected?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the Town has a number of intelligent people employed. We have a statistician and a data analyst on our team who we will ask to undertake the initial assessment. If there is any uncertainty as to the validity of the results or if we need further analysis, we will certainly seek that from parties who are able to do so.
4. Was any consideration also given to external engagements for designing and implementing a trial, given the complexity of parking in general?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, advised that in our research, in undertaking this, no one else is doing it and there isn't an easy option for the Town to go out and ask for advice. The Town has its Parking Management Plan which

outlines what success looks like within its endorsed 90% and we believe that with the time that we had, the recommendation will give us information that will help us to better manage parking in a more responsive way. To date, we haven't sought external advice on the creation of the trial, to do so would delay the report significantly.

5. With the trial conducted over such a small area, what evidence do we have that the data that we extrapolate in this small section of the Town will be statistically significant and relevant to the rest of the Town's user pay system?
 - R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that though it is a small number of bays, the visitor habits over a six month trial and the data we collect is genuinely a significant volume. The trial and a more responsive approach to managing parking is not intended to start and stop with the parking trial. It is the Town's intent to gather enough data to make recommendations from it and that should parking and the free times, the times it is operated or the prices at which it is levied, need to be changed in future as we monitor the data we receive, we will do so, via a report.
6. How did you determine the reduced and increased prices for the trial? Was it based on any data?
 - R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said the Town hasn't made many changes to the pricing of parking since it was implemented, however, we did make one in Burswood, where there was a reduction of price by 50%. That did drive behavioural change which gave us a great basis and the literature the Town was reviewing prior to this a 50% figure was something that was repeated. We did however, want to limit any price increases to a small figure to make sure we didn't negatively impact the economic drivers of the Town.
7. Does every zone have every different variable assigned to it?
 - R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said there is a control element to trials and the control element has not changed. Trial one only changes the free periods and the price stays the same. Trial two only changes the price and the time stays the same. We are only changing one variable per zone but the zones are close together and very close to their control element so that we can measure the outcomes of those changes.
8. Will this impact the outcome of the data? Would that then give an incomplete data set? How would you compensate for that?
 - R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said no he doesn't believe it will make an incomplete data set. The more variables that are introduced, and should we run those variables concurrently, it becomes compounding and it becomes extremely difficult to gather any intelligence from the outcome of specific changes.
9. How will you evaluate pricing against the lower and greater occupancy rates? The way the study looks like it is designed it seems that all of the high pricing is trialled in a high surge occupancy time and the low pricing in a low occupancy time. If there is not that mix of variables, is there a risk of bias in the outcome?

- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that if the conclusion of the trial doesn't provide us with sufficient information to make recommendations based on data, then it would be our intent to undertake further trials. However, officers believe that the information that comes from this trial will allow a change that offers the greatest impact balanced against the number of variables we present to people visiting the Town.
10. What anecdotal feedback from our businesses has been received and has this been reflected in the trial?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis said it would be difficult to respond about the anecdotal feedback in any specific terms, however, we did hear the message behind the notice of motion and are certainly aware of the general commentary. The intent of that trial is that maybe more free time would benefit businesses, that it might benefit visitors to the Town and that we would be able to measure how that changes behaviour through the trial and similarly with the price.
11. How will we measure the impact it has on businesses?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the report identifies, within the monitoring section, a summary of it. There are a number of areas where we can capture data. We have foot traffic counters, we have identified surveys directed at parkers, so people using it and being impacted by the trial and parking effectiveness surveys with our businesses. Some of those would be via our e-portal and other via the Town's place planners and the conversations that they have.
12. What is the main objective of the trial? Is it better to inform user pays as a form of revenue to determine upgrades to our parking management that will better inform economic development to change parking behaviours of the humans involved?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said he thinks the answer to all of that is yes. The Town aims to encourage bay turnover to make sure that there are minimal barriers to people visiting the Town but at the same time to encourage turnover of those bays so that when people arrive they are able to access parking and therefore access the businesses. It is a difficult balance to get right with static pricing and static management which is why the trial is proposed as it has. An outcome of the trial could be that there is a matrix whereby pricing is responsive to measured demand.
13. What happens if at the end of this trial if there is no significant difference between occupancy based on pricing? Are we going to raise the cost of working?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said he thinks that would be the subject of the report and all of the areas within it, which would be put before Council for their consideration and comment. There is significant community and business consultation, so Council would be informed by all of that.

Cr lfe

1. With regards to the three areas identified, it seems to me they are very close together, and certainly from my point of view, I might park in one of those areas and shop in the next area along; are they too close together to give any sort of accurate idea of the parking use in these spaces and an accurate idea of these variables?

- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said he believes they need to be close together. The further we would spread apart those trial zones, the more confusing factors that could come in to play. Because they are so close, if there are any small changes that will impact all areas of the trial, just as you describe, people walk to visit different things. If they were spread across the Town, the data would be responsive within that small section of the Town.

Cr Anderson

1. With regards to the timings, I had an expectation that we would be looking at reducing the timing from 8pm to 6pm. Can you advise why the report doesn't reflect this?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said we come back to increasing our variables, we come back to having different rules for different sections of the Town which can become confusing for people visiting the Town. Officers tried to approach this aiming to keep the costs to implement the trial as low as possible. Any change to timing requires changing of signage on a much grander scale than what has been proposed in the report and it would likely mean that it could not be managed within our endorsed budgets and there would be further financial implications to that. However, we are interested in capturing some information around that. The parking sensors that are being installed operate outside of paid parking times, where traditionally our data collection has stopped. So we will have information that can inform part of the trial outcomes to the elected members to understand and question.
2. In relation to those areas, signage will be altered anyway, won't they?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said there will be minimal signage changes required and they are limited to the machines themselves, to the small blue signs that sit above the machines, that currently say 30 minutes free, that will say 'free periods available'. But it doesn't impact the vast majority with 8am to 8pm, 2P.

Cr Vernon

1. In the financial implications costing, there is no identification of what money the Town will spend on promoting this trial, in any way shape or form. Is there any indicative costing or is any form of marketing or promotion not going to be undertaken for the duration of the trial?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the cost breakdown does include line items for additional signage, which would indicate to people that they are in a parking trial area and would remind them to check ticket information carefully. However, should we advertise that parking rates or times are different in different places, behaviour will change as a result of the marketing undertaken, rather than as a result of the parking management in place. To avoid confusion that may crop up from parkers, the report outlines that people who fail to comply with parking local laws will receive a warning for their first infraction because we don't intend the trial to be a big stick. We would like to capture data in a robust manner that allows us to make decisions and the more that we impact behaviour outside of the time and price variables, the less confident we can be with our result.

Cr Ammons Noble

1. Has there been any discussion around some kind of communications plan to indicate what we are doing because there will be changes that people will notice so if we don't have some kind of narrative, then that could be confusing in itself?
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said the signage or the stickers or the information that lands on the parking machines outlining the parking trial changes will have information where people can seek what we are doing and why we are doing it. In that same place within that report it is mentioned to have summary data, so people can see how the results are unfolding throughout and they can see the impacts of those before the final report is put together.

Cr Jacobs

1. In relation to not giving a fine, as a warning, that would change the behaviour of people because they aren't going to move, because they aren't going to get a fine.
- R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the Town's parking team are able to record vehicle details and where they have failed to comply with the local laws. They may get away with it once, thereafter, you would receive an infringement.

Item 14.6 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee - Proposed Town of Victoria Park Meeting Procedures Local Law 2019Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil.

Additional Information Sought:

Nil.

Questions / Responses:**Cr R Potter**

1. Will members of the gallery still be able to ask questions even if their question has nothing to do with agenda items?
- R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza, said that this meeting procedures local law deals with the Ordinary Council Meetings (OCM) as well as the committee meetings. For the purpose of an OCM it will always be the case that members of the public will be able to ask any question or make any statement, whether or not it relates to an agenda item. That said, the administration is reviewing some of its other formal meeting forums, and that is probably where some of those changes might happen, if Council decides to do that.

15 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr Brian Oliver – 9 March to 16 March 2019 inclusive.

16 MOTION OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

Nil.

17 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS WITHOUT NOTICE

Nil.

18 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE

Nil.

19 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**Luana Lisandro**

1. With regards to the Local Government Act submission, the Town's submission page 76 of appendices states that it does not support changes to the special electors meetings. There is no reference to the Town's Annual Electors Meeting (AEM). Does the Town have a position on the Annual Electors Meeting and will the Town be stating that?
R. Senior Governance Advisor, Ms Danielle Uniza, advised that under the heading Council meetings, it does refer to the AEM and whether or not it should be compulsory. The Town does not support the position and believes that AEM are a valuable platform for the community to participate in the decision making process.
2. With regards to item 12.1 and a question that Cr Vernon asked, why wasn't the final concept plan available to the community for the Lathlain Redevelopment Precinct, Zone 2 and 2X, other than those tendering for that?
R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, said that the concept plan was developed with the community. There is a concept plan and then there are detailed designs based on the concept plan. As described before, they are very dry engineering drawings. They represent the concept in a manner of detail that is useful for contractors to plant plants in particular locations and the like. Usually there is no reason to present the detailed design more than the concept that the community have, have been engaged with and developed with the administration.

3. Is the Council aware that City of Belmont (CoB) has received funding for a dual right hand turn into Francesco and that is perhaps why the Main Roads is in opposition to the U-turn on Orrong Road that they may of actually obstruct that and that the CoB works will likely be occurring in the next couple of months. Is the Town aware of that?

R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew, said he wasn't aware of that, but his team does liaise with nearby councils, so was sure that his roads team are aware of it.

The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta, added that he believes that the slip lane issues associated with those turns into Francisco, are the actual issue that is causing the problem with our U-turn, so the Town is aware of it.

4. In relation to Item 13.1, you talk about gathering data. Will the Town be measuring pedestrian/foot traffic, in those areas?

R. Mayor Trevor Vaughan said yes, that has already been answered.

5. Will the community be given any opportunity to make a submission in relation to the trial? You mention the businesses but you don't mention residents or ratepayers and whether we are able to make submissions on the trial?

R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the report mentions that there surveys that will be offered to parkers, so that would be residents or ratepayers and people from outside the Town.

6. Is that because you don't want to change parking behaviour, is that why you're not advertising it? Is it seen as a factor that contributes to different behaviour along the strip, hence why you're not advertising out to community, so they can comment on it?

The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis asked for clarification of Ms Lisandro – was she asking why we aren't advertising or why we aren't advertising consultation?

Ms Lisandro said it was to do with consultation and asked why there isn't community consultation on the trial, during the process, so that the Town can gather not just business feedback but community feedback.

R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that users of paid parking, whether they are residents or visitors from outside the Town, will be able to provide feedback and that yes, that is a mechanism.

7. Will those parking sensors alert Rangers if people overstay their parking limits?

R. The Acting Chief Financial Officer, Mr Luke Ellis, said that the parking sensors that have been proposed for the trial do have that capability, yes. However, they are being installed to measure length of stay and total occupancy per bay, within the trial, on a bay-by-bay basis, rather than per parking machine basis.

Sam Zammit

1. Has the Town done an audited report or investigation on use of flammable cladding on our buildings?
R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode, said that the Town has been liaising with the Building Commission. The Building Commission have been conducting audits in the metropolitan area. The Town has been assisting with that, and is also in the process of auditing its own buildings. So the answer is yes.

2. The Water Corporation is laying a road and when you come back the next day it is a different colour. Is it acceptable for the Water Corporation to be painting the road?
R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta, said there were two points – one is that we are going to overlay the road so that it will all be red in the next month, so all of those patches will be gone. The other thing is when you lay red bitumen, generally it goes down black, and then it oxidises over a few days and turns red. Mr Vuleta doesn't believe he has seen any painting of the road. When the bitumen hits the air, it oxidises and changes colour to the red over a short period of time. The actual aggregate, the stone in it, is actually a red gravel, so the bitumen polishes off.

3. If that is the case, then why are they going to resurface?
R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta, said that we want a better job than what they have done. If they do the whole laneway, then there won't be a crack between the asphalt patch that they have put in and your wheel line will go into the crack and open up over time, and weather and water will get into it.

20 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME**Luana Lisandro**

Ms Lisandro made a statement regarding the final concept plans, as asked in her question two above, and asked that they be made available to the public? It is her understanding that there has been changes to the dog park having lighting, and some people in the community may not be aware of that, so the Town might actually get some backlash in relation to that being implemented. She also suggested that the Town contact Main Roads to ask about any future planning along Orrong Road, as well as any future planning along Roberts Road, as there may be some movement in relation to both of those roads. Ms Lisandro urged the Town to contact Main Roads.

Ms Lisandro also made a statement in relation to the parking trial and feels that it will be confusing for community members, as she believes it will be hard to gauge the accuracy of the data. Ms Lisandro asked that the Town advertise the changes to the community as it won't affect the data that much.

21 MEETING CLOSED TO PUBLIC

21.1 Matters for Which the Meeting May be Closed

Nil.

21.2 Public Reading of Resolutions That May be Made Public

Nil.

22 CLOSURE

There being no further business, Mayor Trevor Vaughan declared the meeting closed at 8.06pm.