

minutes

Elected Members Briefing
Session



To: His Worship the Mayor and Councillors

Please be advised that an Elected Members Briefing Session commenced at **6.30pm** on **Tuesday 1 May 2018** in the **Council Chambers**, Administration Centre at 99 Shepperton Road, Victoria Park.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "A Vuleta".

MR ANTHONY VULETA
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

8 May 2018

1 OPENING

Deputy Mayor Vicki Potter opened the meeting at 6:30pm.

2 ANNOUNCEMENTS FROM THE PRESIDING MEMBER

2.1 Recording of Proceedings

In accordance with clause 5.14 of the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*, as the Presiding Member, I hereby give my permission for only the Administration to record proceedings of this meeting.

2.2 Public Question & Public Statement Time

There are guidelines that need to be adhered to in our Council meetings and during question and statement time people speaking are not to personalise any questions, or statements about Elected Members, or staff or use any possible defamatory remarks.

In accordance with clause 5.15 of the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*, a person addressing the Council shall extend due courtesy and respect to the Council and the processes under which it operates and shall comply with any direction by the presiding member.

A person present at or observing a meeting shall not create a disturbance at a meeting, by interrupting or interfering with the proceedings, whether by expressing approval or dissent, by conversing or by any other means.

When the presiding member speaks during public question time or public statement time any person then speaking, is to immediately stop and every person present is to preserve strict silence so that the presiding member may be heard without interruption.

2.3 No Adverse Reflection

In accordance with clause 14.1 of the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*, both Elected Members and the public when speaking are not to reflect adversely on the character or actions of Elected Members or employees

2.4 Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011

All meetings of the Council, committees and the electors are to be conducted in accordance with the Act, the Regulations and the *Town of Victoria Park Standing Orders Local Law 2011*.

3 ATTENDANCE

Presiding Member:	Cr V (Vicki) Potter (Deputy Mayor)
Banksia Ward:	Cr C (Claire) Anderson Cr J (Julian) Jacobs Cr R (Ronhda) Potter Cr K (Karen) Vernon
Jarraah Ward:	Cr J (Jennifer) Ammons Noble Cr B (Bronwyn) Ife Cr B (Brian) Oliver
Chief Executive Officer:	Mr A (Anthony) Vuleta
Chief Operations Officer:	Mr B (Ben) Killigrew
Chief Financial Officer:	Mr N (Nathan) Cain
Chief Community Planner:	Ms N (Natalie) Martin Goode
Manager Development Services	Mr R (Robert) Cruickshank
Secretary:	Mrs A (Alison) Podmore
Public:	5

3.1 Apologies

Mayor:	Mr T (Trevor) Vaughan
---------------	-----------------------

3.2 Approved Leave of Absence

Nil

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Declaration of Financial Interests

Nil

Declaration of Proximity Interest

Nil

Declaration of Interest affecting impartiality

Nil

5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Sam Zammit

1. How has Council dealt with the problem of anti-social behaviour at John McMillan Park? Has it been solved?
- R. The Chief Financial Officer, Mr Nathan Cain NC advised that the Rangers have been patrolling more often around the area, however, the Rangers don't have the powers to move on the individuals. Where appropriate, the Police will be called. With regards to further feedback, Mr Cain said that he didn't have any.

The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew added that there is also a John Mactivation project out for community consultation. The consultation closed a week or so ago. Mr Killigrew advised that he has had a conversation with Mr Zammit with regards to his concerns and provided the feedback the he gave me, to the project team in order to inform that process.

2. I've spoken several times in Council about my concerns about preserving the history of the Town. Another development has taken place on the corner of Hillview Terrace and Albany Hwy; did the Town take photos of the previous building that were there?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that he doesn't believe there were any photos taken of those particular buildings. Mr Cruickshank added that he doesn't believe those buildings necessarily had any heritage significance. Notwithstanding that, Mr Cruickshank said he didn't believe there were any physical photos taken, as such.
3. About three (3) years ago, I was told that Alvah Street, which is cracking up and has weeds growing through the cracks, was going to be resurfaced in the last two (2) years. The engineering department have advised me recently that they have the five (5) year schedule, and it's not on there, is that correct?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said that if Mr Zammit has received advice that Alvah isn't on the five (5) year capital works program then that is probably correct. Mr Killigrew said what he could do is make sure his team does some further conditioning reporting, to see if that is a higher priority, than is listed in the currently capital works program.

Vince Maxwell

1. With regards to Item 14.5 on the agenda, the Finance and Audit Committee recommends to award tender TVP 17/08. In February, I asked the status of this tender and asked if the tender has been awarded under the CEO's delegation, and was advised it had and it would be documented through the Members Information Bulletin. If the tender was awarded by the CEO in February, why is the council now being asked to award it again?
- R. The Chief Financial Officer, Mr Nathan Cain said that he believes that the Administration perhaps made an incorrect understanding of the previous question in the response, because clearly the tender has come to Council in this instance, therefore there was an error in that response.

2. So what was the tender that was awarded in February then?
 - R. The Chief Financial Officer, Mr Nathan Cain advised that was another IT consultancy that the Town has specifically to do with project management of the Civica system, which is the Town's corporate system. Mr Cain said he would still check to ensure he is giving the correct answer.
3. With regards to Item 14.1, Schedule of Accounts, the Finance Committee recently enquired as to the nature of the payment of \$16,940 to Wave 1 Pty Ltd and were provided with the answer that this is for the annual maintenance of the microwave links from the Administration Building to the Depot, Library and Pool; can you elaborate on what this maintenance entails?
 - R. The Chief Financial Officer, Mr Nathan Cain said he would need to look at the invoice and purchase order that is associated with that, to give a definite answer.
4. Looking again at tender TVP17/08, why did the Town go to all the trouble and expense of going through the tender process when there is a WALGA panel available for exactly this purpose rather than all the expense and the time consuming evaluation and the risk associated with it? Why not use the WALGA panel where all the leg work is already done? Isn't that part of the reason we pay membership fees to WALGA? The preferred tenderers are on the WALGA panel; how much time and money did the Council spend to conclude what was already available to us at no cost from WALGA?
 - R. The Chief Financial Officer, Mr Nathan Cain said he did not know the answer to that so would need to follow that up.

Alana Levett

1. With regards to Item 12.1, I am a resident of State Street, right between Gloucester and Berwick Street; given the Council recommendation for a blister island was the least supported by the public that responded to the public survey, why and how has this recommendation been reached by Council staff?
 - R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised that the officers recommendation is based on the warrant system and the traffic treatment is based on some of the feedback that the Administration received as well as professional input from those officers, regarding the treatment that is at low cost that doesn't create the noise issue and that doesn't take a lot verge away. A blister island encroaches on the verge quite significantly, so the officer's recommendation was to ensure that the priority issues being the crossing of the road from the park could be accommodated in the most appropriate fashion without impacting on the road or the people who live close to the median island, that the Administration has proposed is put in. Their recommendation was that a blister island would cause more concern for the residents immediately adjacent.
2. Can you explain the warrant system?
 - R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised that the warrant system is a methodology of determining how safe or otherwise a road is. It takes into account average speeds, the number of crashes at intersections, to determine what priority level a street, such as State Street, in terms of its safety to motorists within the Town. So the State Street section between Gloucester and Berwick wasn't classed as a high priority in comparison to other streets in the Town.

3. Has that been reconsidered since State Street reserve was upgraded a few years ago and when was it reviewed?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised that is the current ranking and is a lot lower than other parts of the Town, so yes it has been reviewed. It is done regularly, however Mr Killigrew said he wasn't sure if it had been done this year, but it has been done recently.

The Deputy Mayor advised Ms Levett that Mr Killigrew would have a conversation with her later.

4. Was any consideration given to the Mothers groups and young families that drive to State Street reserve if street parking was removed between Gloucester and Berwick Street?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said yes parking on State Street was a consideration and the blister island mention previously would remove a lot more of the on street parking than the treatment proposed.

6 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

Sam Zammit

Mr Zammit made a statement in relation to the following points:

- With the recently passing of Peter Stewart it was requested that the Town consider remembering this man for all the good that he has done and would like something done in his honour. Mr Zammit suggested that perhaps the children's park in Alday Street, be named in his honour.
- the hazards about driving down Boundary Road and would welcome Council considering removing the kerbing so cars can park closer to the trees and other cars could pass safely.
- Traffic congestion at the petrol station on Berwick Street, when it's cheap petrol days. The queue of cars waiting to get into the petrol station on Berwick Street is quite dangerous and requested that be reviewed.

Vince Maxwell

Mr Maxwell made a statement in relation to Item 14.5 in the agenda and provided his opinion on the report and suggested that the item be deferred or have the CEO remove it from the agenda.

7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

RESOLVED:

Moved: Cr R Potter

Seconded: Cr Jacobs

That the minutes of the Elected Members Briefing Session meeting held on Tuesday, 3 April 2018 be confirmed.

The Motion was Put and

CARRIED (8-0)

In favour of the Motion: Cr Ammons Noble; Cr Anderson; Cr Ife; Cr Jacobs; Cr Oliver; Cr R Potter; Cr V Potter; and Cr Vernon

8 PRESENTATIONS

8.1 Petitions

8.2 Presentations (Awards to be given to the Town)

8.3 Deputations (Planning / External Organisations)

Item 11.3 Trent Will from Planning Solutions, was in attendance to discuss this application and answer any questions from Elected Members.

9 METHOD OF DEALING WITH AGENDA BUSINESS

10 – 14 REPORTS

Section 1:

No questions were asked in relation to the following:

- Item 11.1. No. 4/8 (Lot 1) Cohn Street, Carlisle - Application for Change of Use from Indoor Climbing and Abseiling Centre to Unlisted Use (Animal Establishment)
- Item 11.2 No. 13/910 (Lot 13 Strata 11683) Albany Highway, East Victoria Park – Change of Use from Office to Consulting Rooms
- Item 14.1 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee: Schedule of Accounts for 31 March 2018
- Item 14.2 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee: Financial statements for the month ending 31 March 2018
- Item 14.3 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee: Review of Investment Policy (FIN1)
- Item 14.4 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee: VP95255 Managed print services
- Item 14.5 Recommendation from the Finance and Audit Committee: TVP/17/08 IT consultancy and support services
- Item 14.6 Recommendation from the Community Development Committee: Volunteering Policy
- Item 14.7 Recommendation from the Future Planning Committee: Adoption of Town of Victoria Park Activity Centres Strategy
- Item 14.8 Recommendation from the Future Planning Committee: Proposed Local Planning Policy 'Signs'

Section 2:

Council Agenda Modifications; Additional Information Sought; Questions and Responses – in relation to the following:

- Item 11.3 No. 484 (Lot 1) Albany Highway, Victoria Park – Amendment to Development Approval (Modifications to Tenancies and Inclusion of Consulting Rooms)**

Council Agenda Modifications:

Nil

Additional Information Sought:

Nil

Questions / Responses:

Cr Oliver

1. Is the Administration in a position to provide any commentary around condition 4?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that the Administration hasn't formed a position in regards to the further submissions put forward by Mr Will. The Administration's assessment and the report was formulating on the understanding that that basement carpark was largely available

for not just staff but for patrons to physically park in. Obviously, Mr Will has clarified that hasn't been the case for a number of years, so yes the Administration would be prepared to review that. The consequence of what Mr Will is requesting is that essentially the application does result in a theoretical increase in car parking and it is relying upon the street parking to serve that need. So there is some concern that if the Council was to approve an increase of parking shortfall, relying upon on street parking, then why that would be different in this circumstance to other situations along Albany Highway where Council hasn't supported such an approach. However, Mr Cruickshank said he understands from what Mr Will has put forward as part of his submission, the contention is that this is the part of Albany Highway which is rather quieter and some evidence has been provided of some actual real data, so that might be the point of difference that will give the Administration some level of comfort. So in short, the Administration is definitely prepared to review the condition and look at some alternatives.

Cr Iffe

1. Bearing in mind these are consulting rooms, and that is why there needs to be parking bays, is there a requirement that given that it is Dr's rooms, that those parking bays be very close to the rooms or can they be on the street and further away, thinking about access for the patients?
- R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that there is no planning requirement necessarily, that the parking bays need to be within a certain proximity of the entry to the premises.

Item 11.4 Amendment No. 56 to Town Planning Scheme No. 1– Lots 1003-1005 on South-Eastern Side of Roberts Road and Miller Street Adjacent to Miller's Crossing, East Victoria Park and Carlisle – Option to Purchase Land

Council Agenda Modifications:

None

Additional Information Sought:

Non

Questions / Responses:

Cr Vernon

1. Does the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) have to grant the Town a further extension of time to consider purchasing these lots until after the public consultation period and report back that it is being recommended or not?
R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said yes they would need to grant the Town with an extension, as the current extension expires on the 11 May, so would need a further extension to that.
2. What will be the position if the WAPC refuses to grant the Town with an extension of time up until a further period after 11 May?
R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said she suspects that would be highly unlikely because there is no time imperative to the WAPC in

relation to this matter. The second point is that in the unlikely event that they wouldn't grant the Town an extension of time, then the Town is at the will of the Commission and they have every right to do with it what they want.

3. With regards to the first resolution, where it proposes a minimum 21 days of public advertising, what factors will affect your decision as to whether more than the minimum 21 days' worth of advertising would be required in this case?
 - R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode advised that recommendation was developed in consultation with the Town's communication team, so they recommended more than the general minimum 14 days, which is done for a simple planning application, but certainly not something as big as 42 days, which you might require for a scheme amendment. The Administration would take advice from the Communications team, noting that is a minimum, if Councillors have views on what that should be, if it should be more than 21 days, then Ms Martin Goode said she would be happy to discuss that with the Communications team.
4. When would the minimum 21 days of public advertising commence ideally, assuming these resolutions are passed at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 8 May?
 - R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode advised that in consultation with the Communications team is that the communications plan, which would involve details to those matters that are outlined in the report, wouldn't take very long ie. a couple of days, but preference would be to commence advertising as soon as possible after the council resolution, because this is a matter that is not only important to the Council but it is important to the community.
5. Is it anticipated that Items 5 to 7 of the Communications Plan outlined on page 45 of the agenda, would occur simultaneously with the minimum 21 day public consultation period or would they actually take longer?
 - R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode advised that the letterbox drop should occur at the beginning of the 21 day period. The paper based submissions is about people giving the Administration their comments within the 21 days, or whatever time period is proposed. Ms Martin Goode said that her preference for the pop up type of events to be in the middle of the consultation period because it gives people time to ask questions of staff and Councillors and gives them time to formulate their submission may or may not be. It also gives enough time to give the community notice of the event occurring.
6. Once the period of consultation is concluded, how much time is likely to be needed for the preparation of the report to be included in the Elected Members Briefing Session papers?
 - R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said it would certainly depend on the number of submission received. In terms of a Council recommendation, the pros and cons of each of the options are already in a report, so what staff would need to do is look at what the options are in terms of development and then try and gauge how it is reconciled with the community consultation, because community consultation isn't determinative, but is certainly

important to take into consideration. Acknowledging this is very important to the community, Ms Martin Goode said she would like it on the agenda within the next available month. This is a top priority for the Town, as there isn't any guarantees that the Commission are going to grant extended periods of time.

Cr R Potter

1. Has the Town investigated the costs it has incurred whilst it has maintained these three (3) lots for the last 12 years?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode advised that she has managed to get some data on what the estimated end of year for this financial year figure would be for those three (3) lots and it is just for maintenance and include power, watering, fertilising etc. and is \$45,000. Ms Martin Goode said she hadn't been able to get the costs for the past 12 years but thought a quick reasonable approach maybe to discount those years going back by CPI, but will take a bit longer to get the full 12 year amount.

Item 12.1 Traffic Treatment/Calming Options for State Street, Victoria Park

Council Agenda Modifications:

None

Additional Information Sought:

None

Questions / Responses:

Cr Ife

1. Given that several of the residents have expressed in conversation with Councillors that they would prefer nothing to happen over a median safety island and parking restrictions, are we in a position to not take action on this street? Is this a dangerous spot that requires our action at this stage?
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said no action is an option. The warrant system recognised that the Town did not identify a safety risk on this street and that safety is already acceptable.
2. What is the cost difference between a median safety island with parking restrictions and a blister island or single lane slow point at just that location outside the playground and no other location on the street?.
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised that the median island proposed is likely to cost in the vicinity of \$15,000 and is as minimal impact on the existing verge. Whereas a blister island would cost approximately double that, in the order of \$30,000 plus would include the loss of part of the verge and of more verge parking than the proposed treatment. It would also be subject to drainage and potential underground service relocation.

3. The perceived issue is the speed of cars near the playground and given that no parked cars and a median island, while making crossing safer, will not slow the speed of cars, is there some capacity to use just one blister island or a single lane slow point near that playground if there are no other treatments on the street
- R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said that the median island that has been proposed provides the staged crossing facility for people attending the reserve and narrows the carriage way width which has the potential to reduce traffic speeds. A blister island will have a similar outcome but as discussed, will potentially have a bigger impact on the verge, a larger cost and will also need to absorb more parking from the road.

Cr Vernon

1. If State Street did not qualify for any specific traffic calming treatments after an assessment to the Council endorsed traffic warrant system in November 2017, is the current recommendation for parking restrictions and/or a median island a response to the 41% of respondents to the survey favouring a road hump?
R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said that the proposed response was similar to the original response which was on the basis that the community perceived a safety hazard on that part of State Street. The response was to undertake the warrant system to measure what we could about the safety of the road and it did not measure any safety issued. But what the Town did identify was that the parking on the road adjacent to the reserve may have impeded sight lines to people crossing from the reserve to the other side of the road and therefore a treatment in that location may improve the safety of that location.
2. With regards to the restrictions for on street parking, was there a rationale for not putting restrictions on on-street parking as part of the options in the public consultation survey?
R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised that the options proposed were traffic calming treatments. The parking restrictions are independent of the traffic calming treatments, in effect increasing the sight lines to the reserves but additionally when imposing a traffic treatment, as discussed tonight as a blister island, parking limitations would also apply to allow accessing to in and out of the traffic treatment, whether that is a blister island or another treatment.

15 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE

None

16 MOTION OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

None

17 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS WITHOUT NOTICE

Cr R Potter

1. Can you briefly summarise what it was that impacted on the decision made by the JDAP on the IGA development application?
R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that while this is a question for the three (3) JDAP members who voted to support the development, based upon their comments and the reasons provided in the Meeting Minutes, the majority of the Panel were satisfied that following consideration of the amended plans and submissions made by the various consultants representing the landowner, that the application did not propose significant–adverse traffic, odour and noise amenity related impacts that could not otherwise be addressed through conditions of approval, however, that is contrary to the view of the Town and Council Officers.
2. Was there an error of law in this process?
R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said that Council Officers do not believe there were any errors of law in the JDAP decision. Preliminary legal advice has also been sought and it is not considered that there are likely to be errors at law regarding the decision that could be successfully defended in court.
3. If the Town was to challenge the JDAP’s decision, do we have any idea what this might cost the Town?
R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank advised that the estimated cost is likely to be a minimum of \$30,000, to commence and go forward with the proceeding also noting that if the Town lost, then the Town would be liable for the costs of the other side.
4. As the WAPC are currently looking at reviewing their JDAP processes, would the town consider writing a submission towards this process?
R. The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank said that while he is not aware of any current review of the JDAP system that is being undertaken, there is an opportunity for Council to express concern to the Minister and the WAPC at any time.

Cr Oliver

1. From an Administration perspective, is it possible for the finance schedule of accounts and the monthly financial statements to reflect the work done at the committee and I put it in the context of the previous minutes that no questions were asked at the EMBS, it may be misrepresenting that the Council isn't scrutinising the Council's accounts. So from an Administration perspective, whether the EMBS minutes can refer to how many questions were asked and suggest members of the public refer to the Finance and Audit Committee meeting minutes where questions were asked by Elected Members?
- R. The Chief Executive Officer, Mr Anthony Vuleta said that could be reviewed and can have a look at the context the report includes and better represent that in the report itself.

18 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE

None

19 PUBLIC QUESTION TIMELuana Lisandro

1. With regards to 11.4, when did the WAPC notify the Town in relation to rezoning of lots 1003 to 1005, of the change of use that it was no longer consider park to be rezoned as residential?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said that information is in the background of the report. It was quite some time ago that the Town wanted to have it rezoned and talks about May 2017.
2. How confident is Council that the WAPC and the State Government will allow time for Council to consider this matter to go out to public consultation?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said that as she had previously responded to questions from Cr Vernon, relatively confident that they will give an extension of time for a couple of reasons. The time they took to process the amendment, it is clear from that time that it is not a time imperative issue for them and also how easy it was to get an extension the most recent time. The Administration is not aware of any time imperative condition to either sell and/or develop the land, so quite confident.
3. Will the WAPC give the Town first option on all lots?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said that was a question for the WAPC and she couldn't answer on their behalf.

Sam Zammit

1. What are the total annual legal costs that are costing this Council to get legal advice during the whole year?
- R. The Chief Financial Officer, Mr Nathan Cain said he doesn't have that information, however, in a very short time, would be able to get that information to Mr Zammit.

Mr Zammit was advised at the conclusion of the meeting that to date, the Town had spent \$102,000 on legal costs.

Vince Maxwell

1. With regards to Item 14.1, I note that Uniqco are still in the financial statements, \$2059.08; why is the Town still using Uniqco when I had previously received a response from the previous Director as we're coming to the end of the third financial year since I raised this, are we any closer to creating the spreadsheet for our 80 odd vehicles?
 - R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew advised that there is a new system being implemented for fleet management. It isn't Uniqco, however, isn't sure where the process is at, so will leave the comments to that.
2. So have we abandoned developing something that the guys have been working on since 2016-17?
 - R. The Chief Operations Officer, Mr Ben Killigrew said the Town has a new supplier of fleet management software and the Administration will be using that.
3. With regards to Item 11.1 raises the issue of non-industrial uses in industrial areas and thereby eroding the very small industrial footprint we have in the Town; this keeps coming up and until the wording in the Town Planning Scheme is changed to better protect the industrial area, the Council is fighting these things with their hands tied behind their back; when is the Town Planning Scheme going to be updated?
 - R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode advised that there is a draft Local Planning Strategy (LPS) that will be coming to Council very shortly. The LPS is the alleged forerunner to the new Town Planning Scheme. A draft has been reviewed, so very soon.

The Manager Development Services, Mr Robert Cruickshank in addition to the answer that has been given to the longer term strategy and vision, it has been identified through the scheme review report that was completed last year, that there are some priority Town Planning Scheme amendments that need to be dealt with ahead of the preparation of the new scheme and that is one of those. If you are talking about earlier this year for this to comment, then we are a couple of months behind the timing that had been anticipated. It is hoped that in the next couple of months, that scheme amendment will get rolling to deal with that particular issue.

Luana Lisandro

1. Have Main Roads been advised in relation to Millers Crossing and these lots being sold, as there was consideration many years ago of widening Roberts Road to make it a major arterial road from Manning Road to Orrong Road? For many years the State Government were purchasing land along that road, so I was just wondering if Main Roads are not looking to, in future, ever extend or duplicate that bridge?
 - R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said that there hasn't been any formal consultation with Main Roads yet. When you actually look at the road reservation, the lots 1003 to 1005 are not included in that road reservation at all. If Main Roads wanted to widen that road, they would need to increase the road reservation, which is a significantly long process. Ms Martin Goode said she is not aware of any plans from Main Roads to widen it.

2. Would it be prudent for the Council to write to Main Roads and enquire what their future planning requirements are in relation to Roberts Road, along those lots?
- R. The Chief Community Planner, Ms Natalie Martin Goode said that could be done as part of the public consultation for the 21 days that are mentioned in the report.

20 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

Luana Lisandro

Made a statement regarding Item 11.4 on the agenda and being a part of the Vic Park Trees provided her opinion of their behalf regarding the site and hoped that the Town would consider purchasing the properties to expand the vision for Lathlain Precinct.

21 MEETING CLOSED TO PUBLIC

21.1 Matters for Which the Meeting May be Closed

21.2 Public Reading of Resolutions That May be Made Public

22 CLOSURE

There being no further business, Deputy Mayor Vicki Potter closed the meeting at 7:44pm.