

PROPOSED CHANGES TO LOCAL HERITAGE SURVEY

Schedule of Submissions Received

Submission	Response
<p>1. 105 Berwick Street, Victoria Park.</p> <p><i>Thank you for the information regarding the Heritage Listing status of our home moving from Management Category 2 to Category 1. As discussed with you, my husband and I would support this decision, however we have concerns regarding costs and approvals associated with this.</i></p> <p><i>As you know we purchased the property in 1982. The previous owner had plans to demolish the property and build flats, the property was in a very poor state, and due to the recession, the owner ran into financial hardship and needed to sell.</i></p> <p><i>When we purchased the property, after considerable years of researching we have been able to renovate the home reflecting its charm and character.</i></p> <p><i>Peter being an ex-military man himself for over 30 years became very interested in finding out more about the original owner Captain Robert McMaster and the history around his family. We are pleased the Town of Victoria Park are aware of the significance of our home and its heritage.</i></p> <p><i>Due to my husband's health deterioration; we have contracted Summit Homes to complete the final stages of our 40-year "labor of love" renovation so we can live our retirement days comfortably in the home we built together. It has been our dream to preserve this property for historical reasons, however we would like the listing of Category 1 Heritage status be delayed until our home renovations (alterations</i></p>	<p>The Town has not pursued a policy with respect to financial incentives to protect heritage places at this point in time. It is acknowledged that proposals regarding a place of cultural heritage significance may attract additional financial commitments from landowners however, this is not relevant to what is proposed by including places on a Heritage List. Rather, it is to ensure their ongoing statutory protection under the planning framework as discussed in detail in the subject report.</p>

Submission	Response
<p><i>and/or extensions) are completed. As discussed with you our Kitchen and Laundry are yet to be completed. We endeavor to achieve this final stage of renovation this year.</i></p> <p><i>We recently received a quote and were informed that any work we have planned for our home would incur additional fees due to the Heritage status, including having the plans to be drawn up. We were quoted an additional \$1000.00 just for the plans if the home was listed as Category 1.</i></p> <p><i>We feel we are not in the financial position to absorb the additional costs and cannot be restricted in the completion of our renovations due to red tape if Heritage Listed as Category 1.</i></p> <p><i>We welcome any assistance or guidance you can provide for a smooth transition for the completion of our 40-year renovation and achieve Heritage Listing Category 1 status.</i></p> <p><i>As always, we look forward to working with the Town of Victoria Park in readiness to achieve this very special title for our home.</i></p>	
<p>2. Kent Street High School</p> <p><i>We would like to provide input from the School's perspective. We are proud of our heritage buildings and will continue to ensure that any additions or alterations stay true to or enhance them.</i></p> <p><i>In the past, there have been some buildings added to the site that (in my opinion) do not fit with the heritage buildings (eg The Music/ Photography Block in 2003). However, the recent addition of our school funded Cricket Pavilion was designed with the intention of fitting in with the old school buildings.</i></p> <p><i>We have added several large shade structures and amphitheatres to the school site that enhance the school and provide much needed shade and seating for our students.</i></p>	<p>Council should be aware that the matters raised in this submission are with respect to the referral process for places on the State Heritage register required from the Heritage Council. This requirement applies to all places on the State Heritage List under the Heritage legislation and is not the subject of this proposal.</p> <p>The proposes change in management category from 2 to 1 will align Kent Street High School as a place that is also listed on the State Heritage Register which is considered to be appropriate under the LHS listing and Heritage List.</p>

Submission	Response
<p><i>For all constructions, approval from the Heritage Council is obtained. This has sometimes caused a long delay with the projects, due to the Heritage Council not meeting.</i></p> <p><i>We are concerned that by moving to a Management Category 1, future projects will be delayed even further or perhaps not approved by the Heritage Council, to the detriment of our students and educational programs.</i></p> <p><i>Whilst we agree that major redevelopments should have Heritage Assessment and Impact Statements, consideration needs to be given to the fact that we are a school and a growing school at that. Since 2016, our student numbers have increased from 861 to 1083. We need to be able to provide 21st century facilities and infrastructure for our students.</i></p>	
<p>3. Jirdarup Bushland Precinct Kensington</p> <p><i>Thank you for notifying the Department of the potential changes to the place record for Kensington Bushland (P3896). The Department has no objection to amendment and/or extension of the place record. Any use of Noongar naming should be with the support and approval of the relevant traditional custodians and knowledge holders, and providing this has been demonstrated the Department would have no objection to the change of name.</i></p> <p><i>Please note that consultation with, or referral to, the Heritage Council is only appropriate for places included in the State Register of Heritage Places or identified as warranting further assessment for potential inclusion.</i></p>	Noted
<p>4. <i>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the abovementioned matter. Main Roads has no comments.</i></p>	Noted