

Submission	Support or Object	Comments
1	Support	Very supportive of additional games being played at Lathlain Oval / MinRes Park, especially those of an AFL / AFLW standard. Great exposure for our wonderful suburb!
2	Support	I feel like I will not be impacted and welcome games to be played at Lathlain park
3	Support	N/A
4	Support	While I think is is a great opportunity for our a local area, I would ask that appropriate traffic management and public transport (i.e. additional buses) be a requirement on days were facility capacity is expected to be over 75%. Additionally AFL preseason games should be limited to Saturday /Sunday given Roberts Rd is extremely busy during evening peak hour and traffic was horrible during this years (2020) preseason match held on a Thursday night.
5	Support	As The Town led by the then Mayor Trevor Vaughan and our local federal MP Steve Irons championed the idea of bringing the West Coast Eagles to Victoria Park and giving them a 49/50 year lease on Lathlain Park (I disagreed with this proposal) I find it impossible to understand how the Town cannot now support WCE (and Perth football club) in this request. The residents immediately around the ground were ecstatic at the time because they were WCE supporters OR they thought the development would increase their property prices, so be careful what you wish for maybe? I support the proposal as stated BUT I will be walking to the games and not attempting to park near there as it will be impossible as it is now difficult anyway. Lathlain Park (not Mineral Resources Park to me) has become a wonderful sporting venue as a result of the money the WCE have spent although lovers of trees and black cockatoos may think the cost was too great.
6	Support	Lathlain park is a fantastic facility and it should be used for competitive matches. The community love using it and have got behind Perth Demons this year. I think the more matches the better at Lathlain Park to benefit all businesses around Lathlain
7	Support	Increase of community activation civic pride.
8	Support	Whilst I think on game days there will be a bit of extra traffic around the area, it is only 3 days tops in a year so I don't think it should be an issue at all. There are plenty of public transport

		options available so this should drive the potential traffic issues down. I just think it's mad not to have this sort of exposure available to the area and not take advantage on it. Having all these events can bring on the foot traffic and help other businesses like hospitality and grow the area substantially. The redevelopments at Lathlain, the proposed works on archer st and then the vic park strip would all benefit. It's a sports hub that provides world class facilities that the public can use, and I think it would be detrimental not to use it to its full potential.
9	Support	I am in full support of DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION 5.2020.432.1. It has been the heart of Lathlain for a number of years. I would like to see crowds again like the sixties
10	Support/General	The proposed Development Application does not appear to impact on the Water Corporation's Infrastructure or operations.
11	Support	I fully support the application to use Lathlain Park for additional matches. The facility should be used for its intended purpose and for the benefit of other levels of football (as is proposed). It will also provide the opportunities for community activation.
12	Support	I'm happy for games which attract crowds to be played at Lathlain Park. It's good for local businesses, esp cafes/restaurants and it brings interest to the area. Entertainment at my doorstep.
13	Support	I believe it will enhance community spirit in this area and be a major help to local businesses.
14	Support	Support the proposal on condition the West Coast Eagles FC increase parking by purchasing or leasing the grassed area adjacent to Millers Crossing (ie 47 Bishopsgate St, 6 & 7 Raleigh St). I believe the WA Planning Commission currently owns and intends to sell the land. Outside of match days the land could be used by the public and maybe ToVP council could continue maintenance.
15	Support	I grew up in the area and the oval has been there as long as I can remember. I remember before AFL days the ground being a lot busier on football days than what is being proposed now. I have absolutely no opposition to the plan and I am enjoying the benefits of the revitalization to the area since the Eagles home base has moved in.

16	Support	I have no objection to the proposal. My thoughts are below. Whilst I do not live in the surrounding streets I have lived in the Town for all but probably 5 of my 56 years. I went to St Joachims (now Ursula Frayne) And we used the oval for sports and athletics training. Lathlain Oval has been there probably longer than many of the people living in the area/suburb. Perth Football Club has a history of being very successful and used to have many many fans attending the ground in their own right so if there is any negativity regarding the submission then those residents complaining should or ought to be aware that there is a sports club there and that there would be high traffic when there is an event there. Remember the old Lathlain Train Station? They should consider what this and the Eagles development brings to the area, vibrancy, successful businesses new and improved facilities and parklands for the residents and for those that are a little shortsighted, higher property prices..... They wouldn't have this if not for the Eagles moving in to the suburb.
17	Support	We support the application.
18	Support	We (My wife and I) support all aspects. The only issue we see (from the letter) is under Misc Requests. If the Eagles win the AFL premiership, It's highly more than 6500 people would want to attend the celebrations at a post grand final fan day as fan days normally allow fans onto the oval as well as the stands. I'd suggest having a category for this, to save having to go through the process of having to apply for special permission for this sort of event. The less time wasted dealing with some of the tiresome NIMBY's in Lathlain, the better!
19	Support	I think this is really fantastic that the ground is finally being used close to it's original intent again. In years gone by when the WAFL was a huge competition, large numbers of spectators would have visited the ground on game days, making the area really sought after and vibrant. Since the decline in attendances to WAFL matches, the ground has been quiet and underutilised. I think this is a fantastic use of the ground the Town was right in supporting West Coast Eagles to relocate here. More games and open training sessions will increase vibrancy in the area and in turn lift property prices.
20	Support	Lathlain Park has always been a facility available for the playing of competitive football matches, the crowd capacity limit of 6,500 is in keeping with nature of the facility and the need for a development application to continue to do so seems nonsensical. . The adjacent train station

		provides good public transport links. Increased car traffic is manageable and any inconvenience that may be caused is likely to be short-term.
21	Support	<p>I would just like to express my view that the above DA has been extremely well thought out and documented for public consideration.</p> <p>I fully support this proposed development which will benefit the area and many people for years to come. The proposed frequency and intensity of games should be well accepted by everyone, including local residents. I also believe that the development has the potential to enhance property prices .</p> <p>It is hoped that the public embrace the development.</p>
22	Support	Recognized the venue to be utilized for football matches but the number of spectators should be reasonable, for minimizing the disturbances to the local residents who have the rights to enjoy peace of living just like others in any suburbs. The street security and parking should be upgraded to handle the purposed number of spectators in the impacted areas, included monitor / CCTV camera, deploying of event crowd control personals, signs of directory / guides.
23	Support	It is a football oval and has been a football oval for decades. Therefore we all expect that football will be played there. Great to see people getting out of their living rooms and enjoying all the opportunities that the Town of Victoria Park offers
24	Support	I see it as a good opportunity to increase the community activities of the area, and give us an additional activities we walk to partake at Lathlain Oval. I'm in support of the additional traffic and revenue it will generate for the local shops on Howick st as well.
25	Support	<p>I fully support this application to have matches played at Lathlain Park.</p> <p>As a landowner (soon to be business owner) on Lathlain Place I welcome greater vibrancy, foot traffic and awareness of what is an upcoming, fantastic part of Perth.</p>
26	Support	Impacted positively love any level of sport in my community.

27	Support	Currently there are parking restrictions on my street (Saleham) that apply on weekends only from March to September, noon to 6pm. Will the timing of these restrictions be extended to match the increased use of Lathlain Park? If not, I can see this becoming an issue on match days.
28	Support	It is my belief that these events are highly beneficial for families, the local community and local businesses.
29	Support	The proposal adds to the vibrancy and economic development of my area, I can only see benefits from bringing more people to the area
30	Support	I live directly across the oval. The inconvenience of parking and traffic on game day is far outweighed by the benefit to Lathlain and Victoria Park. If we can keep people from parking on verges and destroying sprinklers there isn't a problem. This goes for Demons games as well as other events. My suggestion is when this proposal goes through have a website or social media page for the ground that has all events on it so as we know what is going on and don't have to look at multiple sources.
31	Support	Our local shopping & social experiences will benefit considerably as more people come to our community. It will drive better competition and product.
32	Support	I won't be impacted at all
33	Support	The facility needs to be used. The expected crowds can be managed Extra supporter noise is a good noise, not aggressive The matches are not too long I will walk to the matches
34	Support	I am a resident of East Vic Park and user of the space around the area including the playgroup at the Keith Hayes Community Centre and the Tom Wright park across the road, both of which I attend with my small children. I would love for the AFLW matches, in particular, to be played at Lathlain Park. It would be so great to take my daughter to see, and part of the appeal of having the eagles facility at Lathlain is because these events will be walking distance for us. I hope the proposal is approved
35	Support	A lot of money and time was spent upgrading the facilities at Lathlain. These are now fantastic facilities. Lathlain has traditionally been the home of the Demons and I can remember when the

		WAFL pulled huge crowds. There was no problem then with the crowds, cars etc. I understand there is some pushback by residents in close proximity to the venue, however, with the limited number of events I think that is a very selfish attitude. They are clearly not taking into consideration the rest of the rate payers in the town. Therefore the Town of VP needs to do this.
36	Support	It will drive more customers to my local business
37	Support	Great to bring vibrancy to the area. Awesome for the many small business and cafes that surround the Lathlain precinct.
38	Support	Will be great for the local area & businesses to have more football in the area
39	Support	I really enjoy being able to walk down to Mineral Resources Park to watch Eagles related events.
40	Support	It may add to the visitors to Vic Park. A positive for businesses in the area.
41	Support	Better facilities and activity within the town of Vic Park
42	Support	The immediate precinct in which Lathlain Park is located has benefited significantly from having the West Coast Eagles relocate their training ground. The area is vibrant, welcoming and family friendly and I believe that allowing more football games and events at the venue would only increase this. The local businesses would also benefit, as well as those located a short distance away in Vic Park/East Vic Park. It is a very well located venue, close to public transport and in my opinion the impact on local traffic and noise would be minimal. I understand that nearby residents might not be in support of this proposal, however I think it's time they realised they are living in an inner city suburb and if they don't like community activities and events, perhaps they should relocate to a quieter suburb further away from the city. I live 1km away from the venue and it would be excellent to have more community activity in the precinct. The facility is state of the art and clearly designed for the use the proposal outlines and it would be a real shame if the proposal was rejected.

43	Support	The proposal will maximise the community use of the oval. It will bring visitors to the Town of Victoria Park thus helping local businesses. It will publicise the Town of Victoria Park. It will mean locals can see AFL games in their area.
44	Support	No real Impact other than I can walk to games.
45	Support	this will give the residents of Victoria Park more chance to see sport
46	Support	No impact..but the convenience of able to go there
47	Support	<p>I would like to support the application as I believe the facility should be used to the utmost, instead of lying idle.</p> <p>I live locally and often walk around the park when WAFL games are being played and do not see any problem with local traffic, there is plenty of parking in surrounding streets and also the Victoria Park train station is handy and plenty of Transperth buses run half hourly on a Saturday with stops very close to the Oval. There is not much noise when games are played and I think it enlivens the neighbourhood to hear the siren sound for the quarter times. I do hope the Park is used a lot more because anything that encourages people to be more active can only be a good thing.</p>
48	Support	I live directly opposite Mineral Resource Park, and since the site has been developed it has significantly improved the entire area. It is now a very family orientated space with a more vibrant atmosphere. It is great watching the community come together to watch football across the road and how MRP has advertised our neighbourhood as a place to visit. The increase in games being proposed to be played at MRP will NOT impact badly on my property, it will give vibrancy to our community. Please ToVP accept the WCE submission.
49	Support	My husband and I agree that the improvement to Lathlain since the Eagles moved to our area has been both beneficial to the community and Perth Football Club with not only Community Programs, moreover bringing the Australian Rules Football along with support to the Perth Football Club which is what was promised. Most Australians follow our game of Footy as it is our National game so the more we can engage our youth by seeing and then participating will keep them off our streets.

50	Support	Proposal will be beneficial to encourage people from outside of Lathlain to enjoy and appreciate what we have to offer in Lathlain
51	Support	I want as much football played there as possible as it will only bring more people from outside our region into the area and spending money. We could even turn it into a carnival like atmosphere with pop up stands etc at Raymond Park. It just makes sense. To the people who whinge - it's for three hours. They'll get over it. Just tell the parking inspectors to have a holiday whilst the footy is on because that will leave a negative vibe around the whole thing.
52	Support	We would like to support the West Coast Development. We have lived in Enfield St. for 60 years. My husband Robert has been a member and still is a member of the Perth Football Club. The Eagles new Mineral Source complex has put life into our suburb, until the Eagles came to Lathlain, I was constantly having to tell people were Lathlain was.
53	Support	I support the above development application and respectfully provide the following comments for consideration. The addition of games, namely West Coast AFL pre season games will bring a number of people to the area who otherwise might not frequent the suburb as well as provide a low cost outing for local residents. It will provide a boost to the local economy and will hopefully result in visitors returning outside of game days to access the local retail and commercial offerings. as an example, 12,000 people attended the WAFL Grand Final at South Fremantle oval, a site which would be considered far more constrained than that of Lathlain Park and will poorer access to rail and bus transport. Lathlain Park is located within the widely accepted 800m walking catchment for major attractors of the Victoria Park train station as well as the 38, 39, 288, 298 and 284 bus routes. The concerns held by some local residents are not as serious as protested. Local residents often feel they have a right to park on their street and out the front of their property unencumbered. This readily held assertion cannot be considered a valid planning concern in relation to the application. The Town has a thorough and detailed traffic and parking management plan for the area which provides adequate controls for the restriction of parking on game day. The Town also has a strongly resourced parking infringement team to enforce the plan on game days. Given that West Coast would only be playing pre season games, which are aimed at attracting the majority of attendees from the local area, the vast majority of people attending would likely be local residents and families who would more than likely walk or cycle to the ground. If the Town still hold concerns about parking and traffic management around the

		ground, a revised travel behaviour strategy and awareness campaign for Lathlain Park for game days can be included as a condition of approval. In relation to the additional West Coast WAFL, WWAFL games and AFLW, the estimated attendance is in line with or less than the current Perth F.C WAFL games. As such, it cannot be reasonably expected that any additional parking or traffic management issues will be generated from these games that are not already managed by the Town as part of the Perth F.C games played at Lathlain Park.
54	Support	The more football at Lathlain the better
55	Support	I strongly support WAFL and AFLW matches being played at Mineral Resources Park. These events add welcome vibrancy to the area on these weekends. It also improves the social cohesion of our local community as they unique family friendly events where I am able to meet and casually chat to my neighbours. In addition, they provide a valuable boost to the local businesses in Lathlain Place and Archer Street that also add value to my neighbourhood. While I understand that many residents may take issue with increased number of vehicles parked on side streets during these events, I believe that this minor side effect does not outweigh the substantial benefits that hosting games will have on our local economy.
56	Support	Enjoy local football and enjoy the ovals facilities and surrounds more
57	Support	It is good to have the football ground used more regularly. Would be great to be able to attend AFLW games here rather than having to travel to Freo.
58	Support	This facility needs to be utilised to its full potential, it should "grow" according to needs. Supporting sport should be high on the council agenda and I fully agree with the proposal put forward. Personally I would walk to the facility, and I do not agree with some that there would be massive noise and anti social behaviour, maybe by allowing these fixtures it may actually help reduce the anti social behaviour. This proposal therefore has my full support.
59	Support	To support the appropriate use of high cost facilities to foster both women's and men's football locally and more broadly.
60	Support	Reference is made to the Town's recent deliberations concerning the West Coast Eagles (WCE) using its training facilities at Lathlain Park for pre-season men's and AFLW games.

		<p>I am proposing that the Town in conjunction with the WCE, facilitate an annual pre-season AFL game at Lathlain Park and combine it with an event to celebrate the cultural diversity of the Town.</p> <p>Without going into too much detail such an event, in conjunction with the game, could include, but not be limited to, the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">. Welcome to Country;. Aboriginal and other multicultural performances;. Flag parade (A person from each country represented in the Town marches with a flag of their country);. Multicultural food trucks; and. Citizenship ceremony. <p>Having been an Elected Member/Deputy Mayor of the Town who played an earlier role in securing the WCE to the Town I would be concerned if the Town did not maximise the benefits their presence offers.</p> <p>In my view had it not been for this development that area of Lathlain would still be a run-down wasteland and there would be no Urban Forrester Strategy (UFS).</p> <p>Much more has been achieved than what was originally envisaged by the elected members at the time. Negatives such as tree removal will be rectified in due course because of the UFS.</p> <p>With regard to the use of the venue for premierships matches these will be played at Perth Stadium. Having said that the venue and area generally is suitably placed to host pre-season men's and AFLW games - and in my opinion these should be held at that venue.</p> <p>The purpose of the "Marsh Community Series" is to take footy back to the suburbs and these are played at regional venues (Le. Mandurah) and traditional Victorian club grounds such as Princess Park in suburban areas. Tickets are also lowly priced thus opening these games to people who may not otherwise be able to afford tickets during the premierships season.</p> <p>Modern Australian Rules Football transcends race, gender, sexually, religion, economic status; something the Town aspires to. Therefore this presents the Town with an enormous opportunity to bring the community together.</p> <p>Rather than stop these games turn them into a celebration, a party, an occasion. Realise the benefits of the WCE development, mitigate the risks and community concerns and make the most of it. If the lease agreement currently doesn't allow for this, change it.</p>
--	--	--

61	Support	<p>We have been supportive of the proposal as we see no major impact to us or our property. We have lived with the games that are currently played and find there is very little inconvenience from games or events held at Lathlain oval. We are literally 10 doors down from the front entrance to the park and have noticed that there is great engagement with the community with the use of the park. Our Children are all in their 20's now and do not follow AFL or WAFL or use the facilities but we have seen the number of families enjoying the events held at the park over the last year and see how it brings the community together. What our Children in their 20's get is use of the facilities and cafes that would not be sustainable without increased numbers at the Park. I think this type of community involvement is the backbone to a great community atmosphere. With increased numbers comes a flow on effect of better resources and businesses around the park. To see the young families and how much joy they get at the events held is especially encouraging. The area has become much more vibrant since the introduction of the Eagles using the Park as a home base and I think that any increased capacity will only see the area with better outcomes. We both support the application in full and believe it is only going to make Lathlain a more vibrant place than it has already become.</p>
62	Support	<p>I am TOTALLY supportive. I feel that the West Coast Eagles move to LATHLAIN is the best thing to happen to the suburb in over 40 years. It has seen the suburb "come to Life". The vibrancy in Lathlain Place, Rayment Park and "old Bowling Club site" is just fantastic . It is packed every day, with happy kids, parents and grandparents. Local School Numbers are increasing daily, because "young families" was to live in Lathlain. I am approaching 70 yrs, and can remember going to Footy at Lathlain in the 60's with attendance of around 20,000. These people must have parked somewhere, so it should not be an issue today. The negativity by some, sickens me, Maybe some of these people have opposed infrastructures such as the "POLLY FARMER", for example in previous years.</p>
63	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="920 1139 2018 1278">. We are very much a supporter of this initiative and believe this application aligns to the vision of the Town of Victoria Park in many ways as a vibrant, great place to live and economically prosperous location. Furthermore we believe it aligns to the level of investment made by the Town of Victoria Park regarding the Lathlain precinct. <li data-bbox="920 1321 2018 1383">. Evolution of a successful town asset. The fact competitive matches was not in the original plan demonstrates good leadership. The first step was to build the facility and

		<p>then slowly start implementation, now that it's established the facility is highly functional and valuable to the community the next step to maximise it's use and add even more value to the community. It is important the Town of Victoria Park don't give disproportionate weight to the starting point and instead focus on the future and potential added value to the broader community.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">. We believe the application should be carried forward in its entirety as in terms of type of events/matches, frequency of matches and crowd sizes. The Lathlain precinct which includes the West Coast Eagles and Perth Demons are community partners and therefore economic benefits from these type of events assist and help the community on a number of fronts.. Appropriate utilization of key assets. The Town has an obligation to promote and encourage the utilisation of assets and facilities located in the municipality. Underutilisation of facilities and assets is a problem for anti-social behaviour, vandalism and crime. Not progressing this application will lead to the outcomes of underutilisation.. Utilisation of assets provides passive surveillance. The demographic of attendees have proven in WA over many decades at events they are appropriately behaved. This data and information is easily available therefore, should a decision not be made to support the entirety of this application and any aspect is based on these types of evens been a problem the Town should commission a report and issue this for comment with comments from key businesses and the WA Police for community consideration prior to relying on this point as part of the Towns recommendation.. This application will assist with inclusion and diversity. It encourages community events, attendance and participation in the Town of Victoria Park at a venue which is suitable, purpose built and can safely accommodate these crowd sizes.. Having up to a maximum of 45 events in Lathlain some of which will be on the same day should be expected for a facility designed to accommodate crowds and promote community activities in a common space. The impact of any game across a 24 hour
--	--	---

		<p>timeframe, at a pre-nominated point in time is not negatively material for residents. Should the impact be material to a reasonably sized number of people this should be demonstrated in a survey or using a data collection process across a reasonable and credible sample size.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . The application is moderate and safe in its approach and suggested implementation. By Comparison the event attendance is peaks at 10% of what occurs at Optus stadium which has managed to effectively hold a number of diverse events. . Lathlain is an inner city suburb and positive promotion of the suburb through events enhances the value of living in Lathlain and the Town of Victoria Park. Therefore desirability of the suburb increases and land and house values increase. This application will create volume and therefore more people will want to engage with, spend around and invest in Lathlain and the Town of Victoria Park. . No more restricted parking for residents, management of parking. There is not need for the council to paint yellow lines everywhere and put excessive and restrictive signs up. Yellow lines should be avoided deep into the Lathlain suburbs. Residents invest to live in Lathlain and restricted parking for residents is a key issue. The Town should avoid the practice of squashing an ant with a bulldozer and be measured regarding parking restrictions which negatively impact residents. Solution is to accept the application implement the system for 2-3 years and collect data and then make decisions. We do not want to see decisions implemented based on speculation or theories.
64	Support	<p>The Perth Football Club (PFC) has occupied Lathlain Park since 1959 and has been the Regional Headquarters of WA Football south of the river and the in South Eastern corridor in particular. PFC has made an extraordinary contribution to the community over the years, having drawn crowds of more than 10,000 to games during the club's successful years in the 1960s and 70s. The 2016 Lathlain Park Management Plan states that the average crowd at PFC's WAFL games is between 1500 - 2000 people. That figure reflects a less successful period and regrettably has resulted in a recent ground cap at Lathlain Park of 2,000 people. This ground cap on Lathlain is at odds with advice from a respected stadium consultant that the ground can comfortably accommodate 6,500 people. The current cap of 2,000:</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> . Has no ability to allow natural growth in WAFL; . Will prohibit Women's football to be played; . Makes PFC (121 years old) potentially unviable as a football entity; . Is not in-line with every other WAFL ground that either has no Cap or a Cap of 10,000, despite coexisting within residential areas similar to Lathlain; and . Contradicts both State and local Government policy in their commitment to the development of the \$100m Lathlain Football Hub. <p>PFC is the Co-Applicant of the DA and supports the West Coast Eagles in the reinstatement of a ground cap of 6,500 people to ensure the future of football.</p>
65	Support	<p>The Department has reviewed the information submitted in support of the application. The Department is satisfied that match days will occur outside of standard school operating hours and there will be no conflict with the peak student drop-off/pick-up times at the public primary schools within the local area. As such, the Department offers no objections to the proposal.</p>
66	Support	<p>We have lived in our family home, (very close) down the road from the Lathlain oval for approximately 13 years and even though we have seen the steady increase of games and events in the area, have found there is very little inconvenience to us or our property. Since moving here, we slowly increased our own attendance to the WAFL games and have loved the community engagement, the comradely, the families attending and children playing sport and getting involved, not to mention the vibrancy that has now come by having the West Coast Eagles Club using the park as a home base as well. The community will benefit from the updated facilities and cafes provided that would not be sustainable without increased attendance at the park. Employment opportunities would increase and with more numbers attending the games there would be a flow on effect of better resources and businesses around the park or in the Town. Since the Eagles have moved to Mineral Resources Park, there has been a massive "clean up" of the area with new infrastructures introduced and it is a much nicer, safer and cleaner place that the whole community can enjoy together. We fully support the proposal presented to the Town of Victoria Park for the development of 34 Goddard Street, Lathlain and playing of matches at Lathlain Park</p>
67	Support	<p>Fully supportive. Prior to AFL when WAFL competition was strong there were huge crowds at the oval. There is also good public transport via train and it's good for Local cafes and raising profile of the suburb.</p>

68	Support	<p>I'm a local resident living close-by to the oval. I support the proposal and believe it to be good for the area and people who like to watch football. I'm well aware of the parking impacts on game day at times and believe it to be well managed currently. I think there needs to be a distinction made between the playing oval and the training oval (adjacent to Roberts Rd, the old car park). The training oval adjacent to Roberts Rd is also for public use and I wouldn't want this to be impacted due to additional teams playing at the facility. As it is it can be difficult at times to use the oval in the evening because teams use it during daylight and the lights are not available for use by the public. I believe a set of guidelines for hrs/week usable time by the public on that oval (adjacent to Roberts rd) is required, and should be published so that the public are aware and aren't disappointed when taking children to the park only for it to not be available.</p>
69	Support	<p>I received your letter in the mail regarding the playing of matches at Lathlain Park - Mineral Resources. I live on Staines Street Lathlain (100 metres from the ground) and I am in total agreeance with the estimated frequency of matches per year and associated crowd numbers for Lathlain Park as stated except for WAFL Finals. I am a Perth Demons member and would like to question the max of 6500 people for WAFL Finals. Perth Football Club have a very strong supporter base and after seeing the number of supporters turn up to there first final in Joondalup a few weeks ago in bad weather conditions I could only imagine how many would turn up plus the opposition supporters to a Perth Demons home final. Plus if they were ever to finish top of the ladder and win there finals and have a home Grand Final I'm sure they would want more than a crowd capped at 6500. An idea maybe Demons / WAFL Finals could be capped at 10,000 people seeing that they have been at the ground the longest.</p>
70	Object	<p>We strongly oppose this proposal because of the lack of parking in the vicinity of the grounds. When the site was redeveloped, we were told that the development of public car parking space within or around the development was not necessary as no games were going to be played there. Now the football club wants to change this. Our home is just over 400m from the football ground and so we will almost certainly have cars lining our narrow suburban street on big game days. We used to live near Subiaco Oval and game days were a nightmare with cars parked bumper to bumper on both sides of our street, driveways being blocked and cars left on developed verges. My wife and I do not want to experience this again and so we are strongly</p>

		opposed to this proposal. Please do not hesitate to contact us if you require any further feedback or information.
71	Object	Regarding AFL Marsh community matches, WCE WAFL I am against these matches as there are no facilities for parking available and for crowds of up to 6,500 and we were led to believe no matches were going to be played at Lathlain it was for training purposes only . It is in a residential area, I live in Rayment street so it is in close proximity to the oval.
72	Object	The Victoria Park train station has not been designed for increased capacity crowds a risk of a crush may occur due to being a historical line with a smaller platform size, stairs and single lift egress from the station. The area is a residential zone not designed to support the additional road traffic. Event traffic management and parking restrictions just push the problem to other areas. There is a potential for a reduction in response times for emergency services due to the increase in traffic congestion. As a residential area the increase in frequency of events would impact in the increase frequency of noise disturbances.
73	Object	Adequate information has not been provided to the residents on how the increased games will be satisfactorily managed by either the Applicant or the Town of Victoria Park.
74	Object	First, where will the cars be parked when spectators number in the thousands. Second, what traffic management will be in place before and after games to ensure that traffic runs smoothly and not through local streets, and, related to my first query, to ensure that streets like Teague Street are not parked out by spectators.
75	Object	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposal to play more football games at Lathlain Oval. I have lived on Goddard St just a hundred meters away from the oval for the past eight years. As such I am very familiar with the current impacts the football games have on my own family and on the other residents on Goddard and surrounding Streets. As things stand, residents are already negatively impacted in a number of ways, including noise (particularly on weekends), increased vehicle traffic, parking on verges and noisy pedestrian traffic. Of particular concern is noise pollution. On weekends when games are played residents are constantly exposed to sirens and commentary on the games over loud speakers which can be clearly heard throughout the neighborhood. Such commentary goes on non-stop throughout each game. This can occur for most of the day if several games are timetabled. On many occasions local residents have to close up their homes to avoid this noise. Should night time games be added then noise

		<p>pollution will become a major issue particularly for families with young children. Local residents are at present largely accepting of these impacts however to suggest that a possible extra 45 games including evening and night matches is, I feel, asking too much of local residents. Not mentioned in the proposal is that currently, residents also have to accept night time training sessions. Such sessions necessitate night lighting which further impacts on families, particularly those with young children. Lathlain is or was an average city suburb. The oval is surrounded by housing, some of which is only meters away from the oval. To suggest that an extra possible 45 games will not impact on local residents is extremely naive. I urge you to consider these impacts on local rate paying and voting residents and limit any increased number of games at Lathlain Oval.</p>
76	Object	<p>1. This will be an unacceptable imposition on our lives - noise levels, parking and anti-social behaviour, particularly after night games are almost certainly going to present issues. 2. This was not in the original proposal outlined and passed, despite strong local community objections. The original proposal was clearly the 'thin edge of the wedge'. 3. I know this submission is a waste of time, as was the work done by a large number of people who opposed the original Lathlain Park 'development'. Their views were largely ignored and it was a fait accompli, as is the case with this proposal, I'm sure. The process is designed to get the response that you want, and I am confident that you will, as once again the 'silent majority' will be seen to have spoken.</p>
77	Object	<p>Will be a lot noisy for each games plus a lot of car on street plus bad behaviour around all suburb. Should be use only for training/games later morning and afternoon only. The turn light off at night because disturb neighbourhoods and wild life birds, insects, etc..</p>
78	Object	<p>The existing lease - and permitted uses within - was the basis upon which subsequent Development Applications and Management Plan were approved. All of the proposed additional uses now sought were identified as either possible or likely future uses at the time but were not pursued. For the proponents to pursue them now is no less than a bait and switch exercise. As a proximate resident that overlooks the lighting from the VP side of the tracks- often left on long after on-field activities have ceased, receives much the the noise generated, and regularly tangles with the increased foot and vehicle traffic created by current increased uses of Lathlain Park, I am maxed out and do not support any increase in uses not originally sought and approved by the lessees or their agents</p>
79	Object	<p>There is not enough car parking at LATHLAIN PARK now. Build a multi level car park on vacant land opposite at CNR Roberts Rd , and Bishopsgate St, OR build it in the TOM WRIGHT park.</p>

80	Object	<p>As a long-time resident of Lathlain (being a resident for in excess of 20 years) I strongly oppose the use of any Lathlain ovals by the Eagles for any football games in any form for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This new application for planning approval is inconsistent with the existing approval which was clearly for use of the site as a training ground, this was an express outcome of the prior approvals and the lease. 2. The Eagles are in breach of this community licence to operate and have previously played competitive football games in breach of the permitted purposes and the lease. 3. The Eagles, despite expressing their objectives of being part of the local community have argued publicly that they should not have to pay shires rates as do all law abiding residents. This is disgraceful attitude and shows a contempt for the local community. See attached. 4. The Eagles do not pay a commercial rent for the community owned land 5. The facilities as constructed do not provide sufficient parking for the public and are hopelessly inadequate for on-site parking and traffic management 6. The traffic studies which were previously undertaken were inadequate and do not taken into account the possibility of football games with up to 6500 visitors. See attached. 7. There is a real possibility that there could be two games on the same day with a total of up to 13,000 people attending 8. Lathlain is a quiet residential suburb and is not an appropriate location for this high level of commercial activity and traffic. <p>THE EXISTING DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL The existing approved use, as a training only facility, was given after significant input from locals whose main concerns were traffic and parking and was only supported on the basis that AFL games would not be played at the venue. At a very minimum before this application is considered by the Council the traffic studies need to be revisited, properly scoped out and effectively carried out and all impacts addressed. In my view onsite parking needs to be for not less than 1000 cars. The applicant must commit to the investment needed for this or any amount the traffic studies recommend.</p> <p>COMMUNITY LICENCE TO OPERATE The community largely supported a training facility and not of the use of the oval for AFL games.</p>

		<p>This was very clear in the last rounds of public engagement. To now seek approval for AFL games is disappointing and contrary to the express terms of the existing lease.</p> <p>The Eagles do not pay rent for the land and have shown contempt for the impacts on the local community by already hosting games at the oval and publicly arguing they should not have to pay shire rates.</p> <p>In the event any approval is given for football games the lease will need to be varied and a commercial rent should be payable of at least \$3,000,000.00 per annum plus rates.</p> <p>THE FACILITES AS CONSTRUCTED</p> <p>The Eagles have maximised their use of the land by constructed buildings which do not include room sufficient for on-site parking other than for their players and staff. This by default should mean they cannot get an approval for competitive games of any sort.</p> <p>PREVIOUS TRAFFIC STUDIES</p> <p>The previous studies into traffic impacts do not take into account football games and were inadequate in many respects. See attached correspondence which has been ignored and never replied to.</p> <p>LATHLAIN IS NOT SUBIACO</p> <p>Subiaco is a mix of commercial and residential and a busy area generally. Lathlain is the opposite of a quiet residential area where parents and children freely roam and use the local parks. The introduction of a concentrated traffic flow from up to 13,000 visitors to this small suburb will be significant.</p> <p>We have already seen the disruption and impacts of an Eagles game on the local residents.</p> <p>PLANNING APPROVAL HEARING</p> <p>In the previous Development Planning hearing I was asked by the Council to speak in favour of the use by the Eagles, which I did on the basis that it was a training facility only.</p>
81	Object	<p>As a local resident and ratepayer I oppose any extension of the use of Lathlain Park by the West Coast Eagles for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current uses of Lathlain Park and Optus stadium already results increased traffic and parking around this fairly dense residential area. Optus stadium’s current usage increases traffic as many

		<p>Optus patrons access the train to fixtures via adjacent Victoria Park station, parking in nearby streets such as the one where I live.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The area includes a several parks with attractive recreation areas for children and families who thus already are exposed to the football traffic and parking demands of Lathlain Park and Optus fixtures. • As Roberts Rd leads to the only current railway overpass this adds to increased traffic mix passing Lathlain Park impacting on local residents and football patrons.
82	Object	<p>With regards to the Development Application for playing of matches at Lathlain Park, I would like to have updated information on the Town's progress towards parking restriction implementation in surrounding streets as per the attached Lathlain Park management plan (note this is the only version I could find, it is no longer available online however I am aware it was endorsed by WAPC in July 2017).</p> <p>The Lathlain Park Management Plan indicated parking restrictions be implemented by 2017, as per image below. Can you please provide an update on which strategies below have been acted upon, I am interested particularly in the game day parking restrictions on the surrounding street network and when implementation will occur? There may be publicly available documents online however I haven't been able to find any.</p>
83	Object	<p>I do not support the proposal for playing of matches at Lathlain Park/Mineral Resources Park. The Eagles training facility was knowingly built in the middle of a residential suburb. Community acceptance of the facility was based on the proposal the site only be used for training, not matches. I will feel deceived by the acceptance this proposal, which may also degrade community sentiment. Based on the game figures presented, accepting this proposal will increase frequency from the current (and historical) 11 matches played by the Perth Demons to up to 45 matches over the season. This represents a huge increase in attendees to the site. My primary concern is increased vehicle traffic to the area. Many match patrons will drive, and there is insufficient parking resulting in the surrounding streets being congested with street parking. I have seen this in recent weeks during finals matches. I have a young family, increased traffic and congested streets makes crossing roads with young children very dangerous and stressful. These matches will mostly occur over weekends when we will be out-and-about in our suburb with young children. Additional traffic also makes navigating the surrounding streets in a vehicle more challenging, particularly prior to and after matches. Pedestrians to/from the train station do not use a consistent area to cross roads. Extra cars trying to enter/exit the oval and surround streets</p>

		<p>make access roads such as Roberts Road and Goddard more stressful as a driver. Also of concern will be the limited access to the recently re-developed Rayment Park, Lathlain Place, Tom Higgins Park and the Lathlain Place café area. Patrons of the oval during these additional matches will use parking areas designed for locals to be able to get out and enjoy these wonderful parks and support local businesses.</p>
84	Object	<p>At the best of times navigating around Lathlain is difficult enough with parked cars on both sides of some street that are not wide enough for any parking. Training days increase the numbers of cars parked in the area which makes it tricky getting around.</p> <p>Increasing games to 45 per season should not occur. We are a small suburb with multiple dwellings increasing creating increased traffic and reducing amenity.</p> <p>Twilight and night games with their bright lights are unacceptable.</p> <p>The WACA is AFL & WAFL standard with ample parking and should be used. It's mostly dormant during footy season anyway and just across the river with ample parking in the surrounds. I understand that the zoning for the WACA is in line with a business/sporting events and Lathlain is a residential zone. Using the WACA will also spread the load between the grounds for the games and should be used for all twilight and night games.</p> <p>The proposal under any guise should not be approved.</p> <p>I do not believe the WCE being here has added any value to our area and wish they had not moved in.</p> <p>I hope they have now paid their rates in full. This club is the richest in the AFL and has the biggest membership of the clubs, let them play at the WACA.</p>
85	Object	<p>Parking is the issue - there is insufficient parking for patrons resulting in parking in inappropriate places.</p>

86	Object	<p>1 The original items promised to the Town of Victoria Park have not been delivered in the eyes of the ratepayers.</p> <p>2 Parking strategies have not been implemented as set out at the start of planning.</p> <p>3 Suburb not structured to accommodate large outside area crowds that will impair local people going about their daily chores.</p> <p>4 No games other Perth football club ones to be played at the site.</p> <p>5 My tenants believe that there are other large venues such as the WACA and Optus stadium that can accommodate these games. As the tax payers of WA paid for these sites, sporting clubs should use them and return a profit to the taxpayers as sport is now a money driven business.</p>
87	Object	<p>Have as many matches as you like BUT Where are people to PARK Would it be possible to put a no parking sign down one side of Planet St We already have the dog park people parking across my drive way from time to time & the parents dropping their children off Double parking is like living in London</p>
88	Object	<p>I assume that most spectators will arrive by train. Has anyone done any modeling on how many would come by car and whether this will be actively discouraged?</p> <p>How many cars are expected to be parked in the streets around the stadium?</p> <p>And how will that impact the streets? How are the eagles proposing to encourage people to take the train?</p>
89	Object	<p>I am a resident of McCartney Cres directly overlooking the oval at Mineral Resources Park. My concern and objection is that the facility is being earmarked for additional clubs playing more frequent/ competitive games at extended hours during some days and on the weekends.</p> <p>This will undoubtedly adversely impact on residents, with increased crowds, noise, pedestrian traffic and parking disruptions. Furthermore, the now invasive lighting during the evenings and at night (when we were originally assured that lighting would have minimal spillage) will become an increasingly major and ongoing problem.</p>

		<p>The impact of approving this development application, would be a breach of the final concept presented by Council, following the exhaustive community planning consultations for the redevelopment of Lathlain Park, which I attended for many years.</p> <p>I strongly urge Council to maintain a reasonable, restricted access to Lathlain Park and limit the number of clubs, frequency and timings of games. Turning this wonderful facility into a noisy, blaringly bright and haphazard thoroughfare, would NOT provide an equitable balance between residents and clubs. It would most certainly NOT enhance the promised lifestyle for the Lathlain Community.</p>
90	Object	<p>I object the proposal unless there is a solution in place for parking management. On days where there is a game, there is no parking along Staines Street for Residents as all the spectators are parking along Staines Street. There would have to be some parking management in place to allow local residents to block off a few parking bays for their own use.</p>
91	Object	<p>The following comments in the application clearly show the applicant had no intention of keeping this facility a low impact training grounds for our community: "The facility was envisaged and developed as a world class sporting facility to support the Perth Football Club and the West Coast Eagles. The nature and types of activities were always going to evolve with community trends." "Always going to evolve" - the applicant always knew more games and bigger crowds was their aim and this is opposite to their sell to the community about not doing that in the planning and management stages. "Evolve with community trends" - which community are they talking about? Not Lathlain. The "footy community" has this weird view that everyone thinks footy is somehow a superior endeavour to everything else. I don't believe that increasing games and crowds benefits our community at all. Road closures and parking in streets impacts the local community negatively. And this will be a slippery slope, and one that will forever change what living in Lathlain means. I object to the application.</p>
92	Object	<p>The report prepared by Urbis on behalf of the West Coast Eagles Football Club (WCE) makes a number of spurious claims and inferences in support of the WCE's application to vary the existing WAPC planning approval. That approval was based on the terms evinced in WSE's lease agreement with the Town of Victoria Park.</p> <p>Despite the lease agreement being the culmination of years of consultation with local residents, in early 2020, just two years after the agreement was settled, the WCE hosted a major</p>

		<p>competition event at its new training facility (a competition with Essendon). The event, which was part of the “Marsh Community series”, attracted many thousands of spectators - far more than that envisaged in the Management Plan. The event choked Lathlain streets with parked cars. For the first time in memory, streets were closed to facilitate the event.</p> <p>At the time, the WCE argued that the event was essentially a training game and not a competition because competitive games are not permitted under the lease. It subsequently, and boldly, argued that nothing in the agreement precluded such events. Indeed the essence of their argument now seems to be that they can now do anything they wish with the venue unless the agreement specifically precludes it. One can only imagine the chaos in society if all agreements were interpreted this way.</p> <p>In the reports introduction, the WCE asserts that the current application to vary planning approval is merely to “clarify” and “outline” capacity requirements. I submit that this is an attempt to underplay what is in fact a proposed major change to use of the venue. The legal advice obtained by the Town is clear that playing competitive football matches (other than WAFL matches) is <u>not</u> a permitted purpose under the lease. Accordingly, any discussion in the report about “capacity requirements” and “change to intensity of use” for non WAFL matches is merely a distraction and should be ignored.</p> <p>As noted in section 1.2 of the WCE report, the existing development approval “<i>envisions the use of the subject site for events such as training, WAFL games and non-competitive games</i>”.</p> <p>During discussions with the Town’s councillors leading up to the signing of the lease, it was continually stressed that the new facility would be for training purposes only and that no matches would be played there (other than WAFL). Information provided by the WCE itself to the Town throughout the development application process indicated that training was the only activity that would occur at the oval.</p> <p>After the Essendon match was played earlier this year the WCE asserted that it was not an AFL game but part of the Marsh Community series of games. However, whether or not it was an “AFL” game is not the issue. The point is that it was a competition game whereas only non-competitive games are permitted.</p> <p>The implication that the Marsh Community series is non-competitive is disingenuous. The Marsh Community series is in fact an AFL pre-season <u>competition</u>. The AFL pre-season competition tournament has been played since 1988 under different sponsorship names (most recently the NAB cup) and became known as the Marsh Community series after 2013.</p> <p><u>Spectator limits</u></p>
--	--	---

		<p>Although there is no absolute spectator limit specified in the original Management Plan, there is reference to “2000 people” which was an estimate of attendance numbers associated with Perth Football Club matches. Since then, no WAFL match has exceeded 2700 spectators except the WAWFL 2019 Grand final which attracted 3300 spectators.</p> <p>WCE’s application downplays the significance of the 2000 estimate in the Management Plan <u>and</u> the fact that this related to WAFL matches only. It now seeks approval to hold non - WAFL matches with up to <u>6500</u> spectators - almost double the highest number of spectators previously recorded since the new venue was completed.</p> <p>I believe that this strikes at the very heart of the new application and why it should be rejected. If local residents had been informed during the original consultation process that the venue was to be used for an estimated 45 or more competition games every year, including night games, at predicted capacities near or greater than that of the 2019 WAWFL Grand Final, it would not have been supported by residents. Lathlain is a small residential suburb, with just 3500 residents. It is not a major sporting precinct.</p> <p>The WCE has argued that Lathlain Oval has, in the past, hosted a game of up to circa 15,000 spectators at the height of the Perth Demons. This record attendance actually occurred in Round 6 in 1967 and Lathlain has not seen spectator levels anywhere near this number since that time. Indeed the establishment of the AFL itself brought about a dramatic reduction in attendance numbers at all WAFL games since the mid 1980’s. For the WCE to rely on a record attendance number of spectators at Lathlain oval in 1967 to support their current proposal is misleading and smacks of desperation.</p> <p><u>Infrastructure and parking.</u></p> <p>Being a local resident since the mid 1960’s I know that one off events with large spectator numbers were well serviced by MTT buses and the Lathlain railway station, which was at the junction of Goddard St and Rutland Avenue (a station that no longer exists). There was also a car park on the corner of Roberts Rd and Bishopsgate St which provided parking for about 500 vehicles. In the 1960’s, car ownership was significantly lower and individual passenger numbers far higher. It can be safely assumed that each car in the 1960’s would have carried at least four passengers each to a major event. That parking area no longer exists as it was resumed in the WCE development for the second oval. Importantly, the 1967 game was a once off event whereas this new development application contemplates up to 45 matches every year.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>The WCE argues that parking would not be a problem, citing the availability of up to 126 general use bays. Not only is this manifestly inadequate, the bays identified in the report are (currently) largely occupied every day of the week - even on non-match days.</p> <p>During WAFL games which, as mentioned earlier, generally attract less than 2000 spectators, all streets parallel to Bishopgate St from Staines St to Egham St are generally full with parked cars from Goddard St to Gallipoli St. While this inconvenience is accepted by residents on a handful of days each year, 6500 spectators would have a profoundly greater impact on their quiet enjoyment. Lathlain does not have the transport and parking infrastructure to host large sporting events. The reports reference to large spectator capacities at other metropolitan venues such as Bassendean, Claremont, East Fremantle etc is misleading as these were established and planned with provision made for future expansion and parking needs. The new building and second oval at Lathlain essentially absorbed all of the available land area that other venues retained.</p> <p>Although it might be fiscally advantageous for the WCE to now use its facilities for purposes other than training (as originally envisaged and agreed to) its desire to now change the purpose for which the venue can be used does not justify overriding the quiet enjoyment of residents. The WCE must look to alternative <u>designated sports stadiums</u> such as the WACA which are expressly designed to accommodate events of the nature, size and frequency described in the WCE application, and have appropriate transport and parking infrastructure already in place. Notably, in March 2019 the WACA unveiled plans to improve and enable the WACA to host large-scale community events including a proposal to reshape the ground to once again be capable of hosting Australian rules football matches. In December 2019 the WACA confirmed a \$30 million grant had been achieved from the Federal Government for the proposed redevelopment.</p> <p><u>Conclusion</u></p> <p>The WCE is well aware that the terms of its current lease were struck on the understanding that only training and WAFL matches would be permitted. The application to vary planning approval would have a significant detrimental impact on residents and is unconscionable. It demonstrates bad faith on the part of WCE given its knowledge of the terms upon which the original lease was approved. As a small established residential suburb, the quiet enjoyment of residents must surely prevail. The application to vary planning approval should therefore be rejected.</p>
93	Object	I think you should be sticking to the original plan 2000 spectators, the newly designed area around Lathlain park was not designed to handle the traffic and parking problems that crowds of

		<p>6500 would cause. There is nowhere near enough parking area, and the roads around the park ie. Roberts Road , Bishopsgate and Goddard Street don't handle the traffic to well all ready, these things should have been worked out in the original plan.</p>
94	Object	<p>I wish to enter a very strong objection to the above application. The reason for this objection is because of the existing major traffic problem at the intersection of Roberts Road and Orrong Road, traffic builds up when unable to turn right or left into Orrong Road. Frustrated, speeding drivers then divert via Weston Street and Marchamley Street to access the lights at the junction of Orrong Road and Archer Street, creating traffic hazards and noise in what Aused to be lovely, quiet suburban streets. I have written to the Town of Victoria Park regarding this very major problem on several occasions to no avail. I am certain the Council is very away of this problem and I cannot believe that the Council would even consider such an application.</p>
95	Object	<p>Parking for the facility is non-existent since the repurposing of the old bowling club. Traffic has been increasing year on year along Roberts Road and can already be dangerous for residents entering and exiting driveways. I am fully supportive of the Eagles maintaining their training facility onsite, but there has been zero amenity at that part of the facility for spectators. As long as all games are played within the old Perth Demons section of the facility, a significant focus on public transport to and from games and a consistent approach from council preventing illegal and nuisance parking I would be open to reviewing my input.</p>
96	Object	<p>We had no problems with the West Coast Eagles application for an Administration and Training Facility in Lathlain and the improvement to the surrounds has benefited the residents of Lathlain. There are a few negative's to football games and training being held on both ovals these being -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • additional rubbish in streets and parks after events • parking and traffic issues • visual pollution caused by the No Parking signage in normally quiet residential streets e.g. Staines and Saleham Streets <p>But, all in all the impact on the residents of Lathlain has been minimal.</p>

		<p>We do have concerns with the new application, the frequency of matches per year and crowd size.</p> <p>Unfortunately we can only guess on the current frequency of matches and crowd size as these figures were not provided. We believe it could be up to three times as many? Your letter indicates Lathlain could have up to 45 events per year and being there is only 52 weeks in a year this will have a major impact on Lathlain resident's weekends? With regards to twilight or evening games there is no mention on what is the latest time a games will finish. Does this fall under Noise Regulations, 7pm for a weekend? Of course it will also takes the spectator's additional time to leave the area.</p> <p>With the additional crowd size, we read that spectators will be encouraged to catch public transport.</p> <p>Unfortunately parking is going to be a major concern, the only place visitors are going to be able to park is in the nearby streets. We would hate to see even more Lathlain residential streets be required to have No Parking signage on their verge or streets all for the sake of a football game.</p> <p>We do not support the application in its current form.</p>
97	Object	<p>My concerns relating to the request for more matches other than traditional local WAFL games on a fortnightly basis are:</p> <p>Lathlain is a "Local Residential Area" and in the beginning I was selected to sit on the Community Reference group for this Precinct. Digby Moullin from the West Coast Eagles advised us that No Games would be played at the training facility and that the club would not impact the community.</p> <p>We have had several open training events already held at the oval and these have attracted many people to our area at peak times for local residences transiting our area to get their children school and head to work. I have been blocked into my own driveway and unable to leave my house without hassle and delay. Pictures can be provided if needed.</p> <p>Attendees to these events are inconsiderate and rude to local people and park their cars wherever they feel like.</p>

		<p>This makes it unsafe for our local people to traverse our own suburb, harder for emergency services to attend our area and not to mention our children moving around on footpaths that can't see around illegally parked cars.</p> <p>Whilst some streets are better equipped for on street parking, others are not and as a long-standing 30+ year resident at my property. Parking signage has not evolved with the oval facilities and is outdated.</p> <p>The installation of traffic calming has removed valuable parking spaces and causes more stress on event days.</p> <p>Also as small business owners whose main source of business is towing a Large food vendor trailer and working on weekends it is becoming trickier to navigate our own suburb and even move our trailer in and out of the street on these event days.</p> <p>We have tried putting traffic cones out on days when we do have to depart and return but these have been thrown at our house so we don't do this anymore and hope for the best that we don't damage anyone's vehicle.</p> <p>Surely there are better centrally located venues for these ticketed events to take place. The West Coast Eagles are supported by people from all over the nation. It is unfair to ask our little community to host people from all over in such numbers on such a regular schedule. Local Football is exactly that, Local and Community Based!</p> <p>The Status of an AFL team would credit events to be held in Central Locations such as the WACA or Optus Stadium not in a residential area.</p>
98	Object	<p>Parking issues, noise issues, general disruption. Also, when the approval for the Eagles facilities was proposed, one of the things we were repeatedly assured was that there would be no games there - it was admin only. In my view the AFLW comes under the Eagles banner and should not be playing there either. The area around the oval is already 'saturated' when a well-supported demons game is occurring. We do not need this occurring more often or with even more attendees for these other games.</p>
99	Object	<p>This application cannot be accepted. The residents of Lathlain and Carlisle were repeatedly assured / promised / guaranteed that the original application to redevelop Lathlain Park included an agreement that no AFL or senior games other than the existing Perth football club schedules would be played at Lathlain Park. I repeatedly raised the issue that the redevelopment would</p>

		<p>create traffic and parking problems through Lathlain. I was repeatedly told that the Town of Victoria Park planners had extensively researched the numbers for traffic and parking and that the numbers would not significantly increase and that sufficient parking would exist without impacting neighbouring residents. Blind Freddie could see that this was either ignorance or a lie as has been subsequently proven. I proposed in writing at the time that the undeveloped at that time, Carlisle bowling club land be developed as a car park. This was ignored and massive expenditure put into developing a recreation area. While the recreation area is good functionally, the slightest foresight would have recognised that a parking facility was of prior urgency at Lathlain Park and a recreation facility could be developed elsewhere.</p> <p>Since the redevelopment of Lathlain Park the impact on surrounding streets (in my case Saleham street) has been problematic. We have had two occasions of massive crowds where the street was virtually blocked. On the first of these occasions (an AFL practice match) I could not access my home. I had to park over a kilometre from my home and repeatedly walk back and forth over that distance to try to load my vehicle with the equipment needed for a business trip into the country. Since the redevelopment I have on three occasions sent photos to the City of Victoria Park showing the overcrowding of vehicles and illegal parking in Saleham street when an event has been on at Lathlain Park – The town of Victoria Park has not had the manners or decency to even acknowledge my submission indicating little more than a contempt for the residents of the area. To rub salt into the wound the Town in response to my submissions regarding parking problems put a traffic island in front of my house removing all parking within 100 metres on three sides of the road and little on the fourth side. In addition to the two specific events mentioned, the street has been regularly parked out for events at Lathlain Park</p> <p>At the present time the street gets parked out at more times than football matches. Kids meet the players; kids kick on the oval; Eagles supporters and members events; whatever??? Bring crowds to the area regularly. While these in smaller numbers can be tolerated and Perth football club matches accepted as pre-existing events, the escalation into what this application proposes cannot be accepted. It is a betrayal of the residents who reluctantly accepted the original redevelopment proposal on the firm commitment by the Town of Victoria Park that what is in the application would not occur.</p> <p>The numbers quoted in the application are totally unacceptable. On the basis of the indefinite figures there will be between 12 and 45 events in the 7 – 8 month football season with up to 6500 people coming into the area (That is if the cap is enforced and monitored which one can be certain it won't be). At the top end which Blind Freddie again knows is where it will happen, that</p>
--	--	---

		<p>means 1 – 2 each week. Blind Freddie also knows that this is only a pushing in of the wedge that started with the original application, to soften up the residents and continue an ongoing creep into the rights and conditions of the residents to get carte blanche use of Lathlain Park for the Eagles. A stop must be imposed now. It will be a lie for the Town of Victoria Park to start saying “well we will go this far but no more”. It will have the same currency as the lie of the Town of Victoria Park who said that the original redevelopment application included conditions that the contents of this application would never happen</p> <p>It will not be acceptable for the Town of Victoria Park to try to pass the buck to the Planning Commission if an approval is given by them. The Town of Victoria Park assured us as residents that it had agreements and undertakings with West Coast Eagles (presumably their Holding Company) that the proposals in the application would never occur. As the representatives of the interests of the Community (not the personal beliefs of some individuals who think they know better than the Community of what is good for the Community) these undertakings must be vehemently defended and insisted upon. Any failure to do this is a total betrayal of the Community and its’ residents.</p>
100	Object	<p>The WCE facility at Lathlain Oval has no parking available for visitors or spectators. The carpark at their facility is restricted. There is very minimal parking available along the perimeter of the oval on Goddard St and McCartney Cr. At best these parking spaces could accommodate for 500-600 spectators. The rest of the parking occurs within the residential streets which significantly impacts local residents and businesses. Whenever there are any football games, or training sessions at Lathlain Oval all local street parking spaces are filled. The proposal states attendances at some games above the nominal 2000 spectators, up to a maximum of 3,333 for the WAWFL Grand Final. At these attendance levels there are already significant impacts access for residents and businesses, including frequently inconsiderate parking which partially blocks driveways or parking on verge / nature strip with no regard to grass or irrigation sprinklers etc. When the WCE hosted a pre-season game previously I observed cars parked across verge and footpath with no consideration to local residents. To host events with potential for up to 6500 spectators, there needs to be an appropriate parking strategy, this has not been provided in the development application. The development proposal provides no detail as to suitable parking for up to 6500 spectators. They refer to additional parking at Lathlain PI, which would significantly impact the retail businesses there, Victoria Park train station, which would significantly impact access to transport for residents of the area. These areas would still not provide sufficient parking capacity, and the residential streets would become crowded. I do not support this application</p>

		without a suitable strategy that provides parking that does not impact local residents and businesses for a minimum of 50% of the requested spectator capacity, and incentives in place for alternative access such as free travel by public transport.
101	Object	<p>The reason for my objection and how we will be impacted by the proposal is because our house is at 46 Goddard Street and we have the vacant block of land on the corner of Goddard and Howick Streets. When there are games already being played at Lathlain Park - Mineral Resources Park, our block and verge are filled with cars (sometimes up to 15-20 cars). They sometimes block access to our driveway from Howick Street that allows entry for us and our visitors to park on our block. We have paid for a road base driveway to be put down so that our truck can be driven on to prevent it being bogged. Our land has already been dug up by all the cars and we don't want more cars trying to squeeze onto it. Footpath has also been damaged by people driving over it. It's already been a problem with the football and any school events. We didn't object to the original welcome of the WCE, but we will not support this current proposal. We've spoken to the rangers before, but it appears that the Council cannot assist us in keeping unauthorised cars off our block. I'd hate to think what impact the extra events and traffic flow would do to our block and the area with people driving down Goddard Street to get to Orrong Road. Also, for the safety of the families with young children who walk & ride their bikes around these streets. So, for the reasons mentioned above, I object to this application.</p>
102	Object	<p>My key objections relate to the proposed increased frequency of crowds, the associated traffic/parking issues and the resultant negative impact on what is almost exclusively a residential area. Increased use is likely to diminish community access to the facility. The proposed increased use is beyond what was expected, and if agreed to, may open the door to further expansion requests.</p> <p>Traffic / Parking</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic along Roberts Road has increased significantly since it was developed as a through-way to Curtin. This has an impact beyond the oval section, resulting in noise, difficulty in turning across traffic at peak times and no safe crossing for children walking to the primary school on Mint St. Additional traffic in the evenings or on weekends would further detract from the amenity of the area. • The number of on-site carparks was not intended to cater to spectator demand at the maximum attendance levels now envisaged. Higher attendances mean spectators will

		<p>inevitably park in side streets, causing further inconvenience to residents, particularly those who have already lost on-street parking around the oval.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Top of page 9 of the application - Parking in Lathlain Place looks well utilised on most days. Allowing long term parking in this location would be detrimental to the small businesses there and their customers. • Game day parking restrictions similar to those that were in place around Subiaco, would only shift the problem, however it could encourage some spectators to use public transport. • The football clubs should include the cost of public transport in their tickets as is done for AFL matches, with sales being on line if they are not already. • The applicant states: “The locality is well serviced by public transport. The Victoria Park Railway Station is located approximately 350m west of the subject site with services running every 15 minutes. Bus services to the north of the site run through the area at 15 minutes during weekday peak periods.” As the proposal suggests games will be played on weekends or evenings, the weekday peak hour frequency of bus services is irrelevant. If they mean Route 39, it runs every 30 minutes on Saturdays and every hour during evenings and Sundays. • Spectators should NOT be encouraged to park at the Victoria Park railway station as this would tie up parking meant for public transport patrons. <p>Facility Capacity What control does anyone have in the event of large numbers turning up at Lathlain Oval for training days (eg if the WCE get into the finals) or any non-ticketed event? The capacity of the facility is 6,500 – the quote below suggests the applicant foresees a situation where they would want to exceed this number. From the top of page 8: <i>“Should a situation occur <u>which would envisage over 6,500 people</u> (a major community event) then <u>additional facilities would be required</u> and a separate notification process (Council and community) and specific traffic/crowd management would be required.”</i></p> <p>Benefits to Town of Victoria Park The proposal says: “In fact, increased use of the facility has significant benefits for the Town including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visitors to the grounds making use of local businesses before and after any events (both Lathlain Place and the strip).
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased visitation improving the reputation and exposure of the Town, its amenity and facilities. • Brand association between the club and the Town – recognition of the Town of Victoria Park as the “Home of the Eagles” and Wirrapunda Foundation. • Promotion of the site as a recreational hub for both the local and broader community.” <p>The facility has been operating for a while now. Has anyone noticed or been able to quantify any of these perceived benefits? The application doesn’t specify what it would achieve by “strengthening connections” or how it would do so - see the quote below.</p> <p>“There is retail/commercial land use located to the north of the site at Lathlain Place (which has been subject to improvement and renewal). A Catholic secondary school is also nearby. The proposal seeks to strengthen connections across Lathlain Park to these areas, which will also facilitate Lathlain Place’s main street format.”</p>
103	Object	<p>I am concerned that this application represents a significant increase In use and visitation of the area compared to what was proposed in the redevelopment plan for Lathlain Oval or what has traditionally been associated with Lathlain oval.</p> <p>I understand the pressure to fully utilise the improved facilities and don’t object to that per see. My concern is related to increased noise and road traffic particularly at night. Parking is a real issue and the use of parking around Rayment Park and more broadly around the ovals reduces residents ability to use and enjoy these open spaces and presents some safety issues for children – particularly those on bikes or unaccompanied by adults.</p> <p>I suspect that pressure to use these facilities will continue to grow and I would like to see a traffic and parking management plan developed before this or any other increases in usage are approved. I would also like to see maximum use limits set in consultation with residents so that the optimal use of the facilities can be planned with this in mind rather than incremental and ad hoc increases.</p>
104	Object	<p>Concern of traffic congestion in Planet n Bishopsgate Sts by Tom Wright Park on weekends as the park is popular and well attended as it is on weekends, so sport fans should be prevented to park in the area.</p>

105	Object	<p>Lathlain Playgroup, located in the Keith Hayes Community Building in Lathlain Place, is a safe and happy meeting place for families with children aged 0-5 years in the Town of Victoria Park. The Playgroup is a long standing community group operating entirely with a volunteer membership base for over thirty years. Each year, the Lathlain Playgroup membership consists of 100 -125 families spread across up to 10 sessions per week. This means on average, 250-350 members of community have a safe place to meet and support each other. The Lathlain Playgroup appreciates the presence of the West Coast Eagles Football Club and Perth Demons in Lathlain. We would also appreciate consideration of the impact that football games can have on one of our main sources of income. Members of Lathlain Playgroup are able to book the venue on weekends as a venue to hold children's birthday parties. The funds received from these parties form a large part of the playgroup's income. In a year we would see 25-30 parties held at the venue. The majority of these parties are held over the winter months due to weather, with much of this timing overlapping with the football season. Based on the game figures presented, accepting this proposal will increase frequency 310% from the 11 matches played by the Perth Demons to up to 45 matches over the season. Most of these matches will be on weekends. On past Perth Demons and Eagles special event days we have witnessed large volumes of vehicles parking in the surrounding streets. Parking at the Keith Hayes Community Building or nearby locations becomes impossible. Accepting this proposal to play matches at Lathlain Park/Mineral Resources Park will likely result in loss of income for Lathlain Playgroup which may impact our members through the need to increase fees or other fundraising activities. If a solution can be found to ensure we can secure sufficient parking for families to continue to access the Keith Hayes Community Building on weekends, we would be more supportive of the proposal. However, we are very concerned that games will impact our income source and would appreciate consideration of this matter. Many of our bookings are made months in advance so, if games were to proceed we would need to know the days/times well in advance so we can appropriately inform of members of the availability on weekends.</p>
106	Object	<p>Lathlain Park is reserved under the Metropolitan Regions Scheme for the purposes of Parks and Recreation. The Park is set within an urban area with residential housing on all four sides. The changes proposed by the development application are significant. The changes if approved will alter the land use from being primarily a recreational precinct to an entertainment precinct. Although professional sport is a form of exercise its primary purpose is to provide entertainment in exchange for a fee and the more that Lathlain Park is used for professional sport the less</p>

		<p>opportunity is afforded for members of the community to make use of the land for recreational purposes. Each time a professional football match is conducted at the site the result by design is that most visitors to the site are spectators and most participants being professional athletes being paid to provide entertainment. Increasing the use of the land for entertainment will require a reduction in the times by which the land can be used for its designated purpose, "Parks and Recreation".</p> <p>The attempt in the application to differentiate between what the applicant calls competitive AFL matches and "non-competitive AFL" matches or "community AFL" matches is difficult to comprehend when these matches are all organised on the same commercial basis; promoting and advertising the events to attract spectators, charging a gate fee, selling food and beverages onsite, setting up TV broadcast equipment and pitting one team against another to determine a winner. From a planning perspective the change in the name or description of these matches does not alter the considerations of the impacts on the local residential area of these matches. A major consideration for the local authority in agreeing to the original development was the ability of the development to facilitate the provision of high-quality community recreational facilities for both active and passive recreation. The access to these facilities by the general community was carefully scrutinised and it was anticipated that there would be times where community access would be limited due to Perth Football club matches and West Coast Eagles Training requirements. The negotiated outcome to ensure that the community would have reasonable access resulted in a condition that there would be at a minimum 100 daylight hours per month where the community would have unfettered use of oval 2 and unlimited access to the community zone known as zone 2. The increase in intensity proposed in the new development application will severely limit the communities use of the facilities. The increase in spectators to the area and the associated traffic and parking will crowd out access to parking and make it difficult for people to use Zone 2, Oval 2 or the Zone 2x recreation and dog park facilities in Bishopsgate street. The relatively small inconvenience caused by the current Perth Football club activities, generally nine or ten games per year will, under the new proposal, result in the area being congested almost every week. The community access to these public facilities will be severely curtailed.</p> <p>The suburb of Lathlain is first and foremost a residential area with Lathlain Park providing the major portion of public open space for the area. The proposed intensification of the commercial sporting events at Lathlain Park will deny the local community of this much needed access to public open space.</p>
--	--	---

		<p>There was never an intention by the Town of Victoria Park or the West Coast Eagles to establish a professional football precinct at Lathlain Park and the community was assured by both parties that the facility was only for the stated purpose of providing a training and administration centre for the West Coast Eagles. The many concerns and fears of the community were placated by the assurances of the low impact nature of the facility and a much lauded community benefits package, much of which remains to be delivered.</p> <p>There are readily available alternatives for the hosting of the additional games that the proponents mention in the development application and these were already discussed at the time of the original development and put forward to the community as evidence as to why there would be no need to increase the intensity of activity at Lathlain Park with the main alternative being the new stadium which was under construction at the time that the negotiations with the community were carried out.</p> <p>The State Government has invested substantial amounts of taxpayers' funds into the provision of the state-of-the-art football stadium on the Burswood peninsula which is located just two kilometres from Lathlain Park. We believe it is unnecessary and unreasonable to attempt to create another professional football precinct on the doorstep of Perth Stadium and especially within an urban environment that is ill equipped to handle the crowds and traffic on a regular basis.</p> <p>Lathlain Park is already well used by the football clubs and the local community working collaboratively together. To increase the use of the park for professional football will by necessity reduce the community's access to the park as well as access to neighbouring facilities due to reduced access to parking. There are viable alternatives in the Stadium and the WACA ground that are much more suitable for handling spectator sports.</p> <p>The Town of Victoria Park, The West Coast Eagles and Perth football clubs and the community spent several years to settle on a negotiated outcome that is expressed by the current land use zoning and the lease agreement. To make changes as significant as those proposed by the development application so soon after these agreements were signed off would be a huge slap in the face for the community who would be severely disadvantaged if the proposed intensification of professional events is permitted.</p>
107	Object	As a Lathlain resident I am totally against the development application proposed by the West Coast Eagles.

		<p>Approval of the DA will result in significant negative impact on the local community.</p> <p>I hope that the recommendation from the Town is to not only not support this application but to robustly oppose it on behalf of the local, ratepaying residents.</p> <p>Historical background</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of WACA at time WCE left Subiaco • Max 1 game every couple of years attracts up to 6500 • When some 4000 people attended the oval (last year or year before) it was bedlam in the suburb. I am 2 blocks across and 1/2 block down and we could not leave our house due to congestion in the area. We had people trying to park in our driveway, across our driveway and on our front lawn. Our beautiful wide street had cars parked down both sides, enabling 1 car only to traverse the street. It was insane and it was like this for the blocks surrounding the oval for hours. My partner has lived in the suburb for 40 years and never seen anything like it. • Last time Demons had 10 000 people at a game was in 1967 • Lathlain was the wrong choice if they wanted to expand oval usage • Changing nature of the game addressed by facilities at Optus Stadium (2 practice ovals) and the WACA • Lathlain Park Master Plan, Major Land Transaction Plan, Town of Victoria Sport and Recreation Facility Strategy; Lathlain Park Business Plan, Lathlain Park Management Plan all refer to the facility re WCE use of the land as Training and Administration and should have minimum impact on local community <p>Limitations of existing planning approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intensification of use allows for 45 games and 170 000 spectators to be played - currently 1-2 weekend games/month • Does not account for additional training requirements • Local communities ability to use the land will dramatically decrease (100 hours per month) – this right to use is in the Lathlain Park Master Plan, Major Land Transaction Plan, Town of Victoria Sport and Recreation Facility Strategy; Lathlain Park Business
--	--	---

		<p>Plan, Lathlain Park Management Plan as well Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) zoning of Parks and Recreation – Restricted Public Access</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The DA application completely changes what was agreed to. Corporate pressure to expand business should not impact on the local community. The ToVP is right to refer this back to the WAPC as this kind of usage of the land is not what was intended when the original application was approved. • Lathlain is a residential area – not a football hub. State government vision was to see this activity move to the WACA , where the pre and post activities of the spectators can be accommodation. <p>Framework for consideration and determining body</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the local residents in Lathlain, fighting for our rights to enjoyment of our properties and access to public open space is a David v Goliath battle. We number but 3300 and the WCE, Demons and supporters over 650000 • The “community” refers to the Local community – not the football community • As we are directly and significantly impacted by the DA, our voice should be the loudest in the room. <p>Relationship to the Management Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As the person that lodged the first petition to the original DA, ran the Special Electors Meeting, presented at SAT, attended every council meeting and eventually instigated – and is secretary of – the Town of Victoria Park Ratepayers Association I find the statement “The Management Plan was developed and approved to provide a suitable framework against which applications for development or use may be able to be assessed” an insult to the hours of community engagement and consultation I participated in and facilitated. • The community were always told – in response to questions asked at council; planning documentation and community engagement (including the Reference Group) that this facility would only ever be used for training and admin by the WCE and during office hours only. • The community were always told there would be minimum impact as activity at the ground would be during office hours.
--	--	---

		<p>Management Plan</p> <p>DA Claim: <i>The management plan does not specify the maximum number of visitors for any specific element of its use, with the uses being entirely consistent with the zoning and specifications under the MRS and endorsed Management Plan.</i></p> <p>My Response: The WCE were represented at the reference group meetings and actively participated in the decision making process. It was not specified as they always maintained the usage would be for training and administration only, with the operations of the club being undertaken during office hours:</p> <p>DA Claim: <i>A previously aging and fenced oval has been opened up, upgraded and expanded to enable and facilitate greater community access and engagement. This is a key principle that needs to be maintained and would not be compromised by this proposal. Nothing is proposed to undermine these functions.</i></p> <p>My Response The local community have access rights to oval 2 of 100 hours per month. If the proposed schedule of games (plus required training hours) are approved local residents will be unable to use the community oval at all during the 6 month season. The lack of community open space was meant to be rectified by the original DA but is now being eroded even further.</p> <p>DA Claim <i>The facility was envisaged and developed as a world class sporting facility to support the Perth Football Club and the West Coast Eagles. The nature and types of activities were always going to evolve with community trends.</i></p> <p>My Response The nature of football will always evolve, however the nature of the use of Lathlain Park remains the same – a training and admin facility for WCE and training, admin and games for WCE. The changing nature of a sport should significantly impact on the local residents. Using existing resources WACA and the Burswood facilities would more than adequately suit the needs as outlined in this DA</p>
--	--	---

		<p>DA Claim <i>AFL Home and Away matches were not and are not proposed given the scale and intensity associated with such games. The management plan did envisage scratch matches and events to be conducted at a range of scale and intensity.</i></p> <p>My Response A “scratch match” is not what is proposed. What is proposed is a 45% increase in large scale attendee commercial matches meaning up to 7.5 games at the oval a month!</p> <p>The lease agreement states: 8.4 Use of Premises by the Tenant</p> <p>(a) Subject to clause 8.3(a), the Tenant may use the Premises for the Permitted Purpose, and for any purposes ancillary to the operations of an AFL football club (such as fan days), but must not use the Premises for any other use or purpose.</p> <p>(b) From the Commencement Date until the date of Practical Completion the Tenant must promote the Premises as its future head office, administration centre and future Primary Training Base.</p> <p>(c) From the date of Practical Completion until the expiry of the Term the Tenant must retain, use and promote the Premises as its head office, administration centre and Primary Training Base.</p> <p>Wi Clause 1 of the lease defining “Permitted Purpose” as: Permitted Purpose means:</p> <p>(a) administration offices for an AFL football club; (b) administration offices associated with community organisations such as The Wirrpanda Foundation Limited; (c) indoor and outdoor training, education, aquatic, medical, rehabilitation and recovery activities associated with an AFL sports organisation; (d) functions and catering space (open to the public); (e) museum (open to the public); (f) cafe (open to the public); (g) sports medicine and medical facilities (open to the public); (h) child care (open to the public); and (g) any other purpose from time to time agreed by the Parties, and without expanding the definition of "Permitted Purpose", it does not include music concerts or live music events.”</p>
--	--	---

		<p>DA Claim</p> <p><i>The emergence and accommodation of Women's, Colt and other matches are not precluded under the Management Plan. However, through this development application we propose to provide additional clarity and controls based on scale and intensity of activity within permissible and operational limits</i></p> <p>I agree there need to be a clear definition on the usage of the land. All consultation, proposals, community engagement was based on the facility being for admin and training only. Questions were asked by the community re additional games at the facility and we were constantly told NO that would not be a consideration. The Town of Victoria Park and WCE signed the lease agreement on this basis.</p> <p>Impact to Residents and Ratepayers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loss of access to oval 2 • Increase in Town's expenditure on security, maintenance etc impacting on rates paid by locals • Right to enjoy our property impacted by increase noise and light pollution – it is not just the games it is the blaring music played whenever possible. It is like having a dance party in the back yard. • While light spill is minimised around the oval it is not for many sections of the suburb due to the raised height of the lights • WCE claim there is a shopping precinct that will benefit from the proposal. This area is constantly packed, is of just over 100 mt long and could not sustain the proposed increase in traffic. Consists of 2 x café and 1 x take away. <p>Black Cockatoo Roost</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existence of Red Tail Black Cockatoo roost at the site was documented over a 1 year period and results of monitoring have been registered with the WA Museum; • Known detrimental impact of increase in noise and light on the roost <p>Compliance with DA - notifications</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residents did not receive notification of the DA until 25 September, although it was dated 18 September
--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some residents who are directly affected by the DA have received no notification • No email notification from Your Thoughts • No DA application notification on the site <p>Compliance with original DA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are a number of issues that the Town of Victoria Park can not confirm have been complied with. Residents must be provided with proof of compliance before a second DA is considered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The tree replanting was meant to be 6 x 100 lt trees per trees felled equating to a nil loss of canopy – planted off site and around the local community. This has not occurred, with most of the replantings being shrubs and bushes and on the WCE lease ○ Schedule of access for the community – not done <p>Supply of 2 community officer to ToVP (located at ToVP) – not done.</p>
108	Object	<p>Increased Activity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 400% increase in games played • Average of 7.5 games per month • Attendees increase by 3000% • Projected 170 000 people • Reduces local residents 100 hours community access <p>Environmental protection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Registered Red Tail Cockatoo roost • Known impact on a roost of increased noise and light <p>Historical</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well documented evidence that WCE always maintained usage was for Training and Admin centre only • Report commission by Town identified need for open space in Lathlain. Residents are to have 100 hours community access, however still no schedule

		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Redevelopment of WACA to facilitate AFL games meets the requirements of WCE game schedule• Local community raised issue of games being played and were told by WCE representatives that was not on the agenda• Crowds of 6500 for Demons games are extremely rare - not seen in Lathlain as a regular occurrence for many decades• Back then most of the Demons fans lived locally - now they mostly live outside of the suburb• Back then no urban infill - quarter acre blocks.• The WCE argument that this was prior use does not consider the changes in football and the local area• Compliance to the original DA by the WCE is in question - 2 community officers, historical photo record, oval 2 schedule for local community, tree planting requirements <p>Parking</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Over 800 parking bays were lost when WCE development was implemented• WCE advised in all consultation that only admin and training players will attend the site during office hours only• Parking available is now 240 bays• When 4500 people attended a game massive impact on local residents - review complaints to Council <p>The project</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Local community consistency raised questions re WCE games being played at the site• Throughout the consultation process WCE stated training and admin only• Elected members at council understood and believed this and voted on the DA on this basis• Community benefits for the local community have still not been realised• Documentation related to the project clearly protects the rights of the local community to not be severely impacted by the project• The WCE consistently reassured the local community and elected members that there would be minimal impact to the local community if the DA was approved
--	--	---

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The submitted DA will significantly impact the local community in the areas of noise, light, parking and security - all issues that were raised at the Special Electors Meeting • When the question was asked at the Special Electors Meeting if the project could be backed out of at that point due to the concerns raised by the community the Council officers said no. This was incorrect - they could have. • As predicted by the ratepayers, the new DA presents a change to the original DA that will have a significant impact on local residents. <p>Compliance with DA notifications</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residents did not receive notification of the DA until 25 September • Some residents who are directly affected by the DA have received no notification • No email notification from Your Thoughts • No DA application on the site • No notification in Southern Gazette <p>Cost to Ratepayers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With a 300% increase activity at the oval, more services are required from ToVP <p>WCE Options</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The WCE have the option to utilise other ovals and facilities for these games • The motivation for the DA is to ensure the WCE do not have to pay other organisations for use of the oval • The WCE entered the 49 years + 50 lease agreement with knowledge of the future of football and the resources required <p>It is inconceivable that the demand to play games at Lathlain Park was not known at the time of signing the lease</p>
--	--	--